

# The Study Of Assessment Of Drinking Water Quality For The Tribal Villagers, Roha-Tahsil, Dist-Raigad (Maharashtra)

Dinesh Bhagat<sup>1</sup>, Sunil Patil<sup>2</sup>, Bhushan Langi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>K.E.S.Anandibai Pradhan Science College,Nagothane- 402106,Tq.: Roha, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Changu Kana Thakur Arts, Commerce and Science College,New Panvel, Raigad, Pin- 410206, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>3</sup>Dnyansadhana College, Thane, Pin- 400604, Maharashtra, India.

## Abstract:

Assessment of physicochemical characteristics of well water of six tribal villages of Roha Tahsil has been carried out during the year 2011-12. For instance water moving through underground rocks and soils may pick up natural contaminates, even with no human activity or pollution in the area. In addition to nature's influence, water is also polluted by human activities, such as open defecation, dumping garbage, poor agricultural practices, and chemical spills at industrial sites.

The present study was undertaken for six tribal villages of Roha tahsil,viz. Warvathane, Bhatsai, Ainghar, Bangalwadi, Kansai and Shenvai where the well water is the only source of drinking water . The water analysis was performed for the selected parameters such as B.O.D., Chlorides, Carbon Dioxide, Total Alkalinity, Total Hardness, Calcium Hardness, Magnesium Hardness, Silicates etc. It was concluded from the study that the well water can be used for the drinking purpose after a suitable treatment.

**Keywords:** Bhatsai, Bangalwadi,Shenvai, Kansai physicochemical parameters .

## Introduction:

Having safe drinking water and basic sanitation is a human need and right for every man, woman and child. People need clean water and sanitation to maintain their health and dignity. Having better water and sanitation is essential in breaking the cycle of poverty since it improves people's health, strength to work, and ability to go to school. Yet 884 million people around the world live without improved drinking water and 2.5 billion people still lack access to improved sanitation, including 1.2 billion who do not have a simple latrine at all (WHO / UNICEF, 2008).

The World Health organization (WHO) estimates that 88% of diarrheal disease is caused by unsafe water, inadequate sanitation and poor hygiene. As a result, more than 4,500 children

die every day from diarrhea and other diseases. For every child that dies, countless others, including older children and adults, suffer from poor health and missed opportunities for work and education.

To safe guard the long term sustainability of well water and ground water resources, the quality of water needs to be continuously monitored (NEERI 1981).

### **Study area:**

Roha is a small city and taluka in the Raigad district of the Maharashtra state of India. It is located 120 km southeast of Mumbai. It is the starting point of konkan railways and end point of central railways. Raigad is one of the industrially developed districts in the Maharashtra state. It lies at the bank of Arabian Sea. The geometrical position of it has latitude  $18.45^{\circ}$  and  $73.12^{\circ}$  longitude. Hilly area is one of the silent features of this area. The present investigation was carried out at the six selected villages in the Roha tahsil between June 2011 to December 2012 by considering the different physico-chemical parameters.

### **Materials and Methods:**

For the purpose of study of well water quality in some selected tribal villages. The samples were collected quarterly, in early morning hours, in clean plastic carboy of 2 liters capacity. Air temperature, water temperature was recorded on the spot at the sites. The samples for DO were fixed immediately in the field itself. Other parameters such as B.O.D., Chlorides, Carbon Dioxide, Total Alkalinity, Total Hardness, Calcium Hardness, Magnesium Hardness, Silicates etc., were analysis as per the methods describe in the standard methods (APHA, 1990); Trivedi and Goel (1984) and Kodarkar (1992).

### **Result and discussion:**

The variations in analysed physical and chemical characteristics are tabulated along with the standard values in the Table No. 1 to 9.

### **Dissolved Oxygen**

Dissolved Oxygen is one of the most important parameters in aquatic systems. It is considered as water quality indicator (Manna and Das, 2004; Ghavzan et al.2005; Koshy, 2005; Mathur and Maheshwari, 2005). Dissolved Oxygen (DO) refers to the volume of oxygen that is dissolved in water. The atmosphere is an only major source of dissolved oxygen in river water. Waves and tumbling water mix atmospheric oxygen with river water. Oxygen is also produced by rooted

aquatic plants and algae as a product of photosynthesis. The amount of oxygen that can be held by the water depends on the water temperature, salinity and pressure. Usually cold water holds more oxygen than warm water (Tiwary et al.2005; Bhalla et al. 2007; Cerqueira et al.2007; Prakash et al. 2007; Kennedy and Whalen, 2008). It is also affected by water flow as stagnant water has less oxygen because of less internal mixing. Natural stream purification processes require adequate oxygen levels in order to provide for aerobic life forms. This gas is an absolute requirement for the metabolism of aerobic organisms and also influences inorganic chemical reactions. Therefore, knowledge of the solubility and dynamics of oxygen distribution is essential to interpret both biological and chemical processes within water bodies.

Decrease in dissolved oxygen is an index of increased organic pollution which is mainly due to the addition of waste through point and non-point sources from its catchment area. These organic matters undergo degradation by microbial activity in the presence of dissolved oxygen resulting in deoxygenating process and swift depletion of dissolved oxygen. The inflow of domestic sewage is one of the major point sources as reported by ( Maity et al.2004); (Ghavzan et al. 2005); (Shah et al. 2005).

The present study reveals that the dissolved oxygen in the water body was neither too high nor too low which is the indication of good water quality. It ranged between 4.9 to 7.8 mg/l (Table No. 1). Though it was low occasionally, the average values were satisfying.

### **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)**

Biochemical Oxygen Demand or Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) is a chemical procedure for determining how fast biological organisms use up oxygen in a body of water. It measures the amount of oxygen consumed in the biological processes that break down organic matter in water. It is used in water quality management and assessment, ecology and environmental science. Most of pristine rivers have a 5-day BOD below 1 mg/l. For non-polluted water, the BOD<sub>5</sub> is less than 5mg/l (Singh et al .2008).

During the present study the BOD ranged from 0.1 to 4 mg/l (Table No. 2). It was within permissible limit for with occasional higher values which may be attributed to increased organic load.

## **Chlorides**

Chlorides are salts resulting from the combination of the gas chlorine with a metal. Chloride is widely distributed in nature, generally in the form of sodium (NaCl) and potassium (KCl) salts. It constitutes about 0.05% of the earth's outer crust. Chloride is an essential element for an aquatic and terrestrial biota, representing the main extra cellular anion in animals, including humans. It is a highly mobile ion that easily crosses cell membranes and is involved in maintaining proper osmotic pressure, water balance and acid -base balance in animal tissues. Chloride ion also plays an active role in renal function, neurophysiology and nutrition.

Chloride ions are conservative (Newton et al.2007), which means that they are not degraded in the environment and tend to remain in solution, once dissolved. Chloride ions that enter ground water can ultimately be expected to reach surface water and therefore, influence aquatic environments and humans.

During the present study the chloride concentration ranged between 12.035 to 35.425 mg/l (Table No. 3). The higher values obtained in summer may be attributed to the evaporation of water.

## **Free Carbon Dioxide**

Free carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) refers to carbon dioxide gas dissolved in water. The term is used to distinguish a solution of the gas from the combined carbon dioxide present in bicarbonate and carbonate ions. This gas on solution in water produces carbonic acid resulting in lowering of pH. With a decrease in pH corrosive characteristics is induced in water resulting severe corrosion of heat exchanger, pipes, valves etc.

The present study indicates the concentration of carbon dioxide ranging from 24 to 42 mg/l (Table No. 4). Very high values are suggesting the formation of carbonic acid in a water.

## **Alkalinity**

Alkalinity refers to the acid-neutralizing capacity of water. It is a factor that accounts for the buffering ability of water. Water without sufficient alkalinity will drop in pH when even small amounts of acids are present, disturbing the living systems within the water supply.

According to ( Dey et al. 2005) alkaline nature of water is harmful to man whereas Mathur and Maheshwari (2005) stated that high alkalinity has little public health significance. There are two

types of alkalinities namely phenolphthalein alkalinity (PA) and total alkalinity (TA). Phenolphthalein alkalinity (PA) is present only when free carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) is absent and therefore exists only when the pH exceeds 8.3.

The phenolphthalein alkalinity measures hydroxyl ions rather than carbonates Sechriest (1960). It should never be over half the total alkalinity; otherwise, a caustic alkalinity is produced.

The present study reveals that the phenolphthalein alkalinity is zero throughout the study period. Total alkalinity varied from 82 to 158 mg/l (Table No. 5). According to Rajalakshmi and Sreelatha (2005), the higher values coincide with pollution load. Chatterjee and Raziuddin (2003) stated that TA is governed by photosynthesis and microbial decomposition.

### **Total Hardness**

Hardness is defined as the concentration of calcium and magnesium ions expressed in terms of calcium carbonate. Hard water has high minerals, primarily calcium and magnesium. Other minerals, such as iron, may contribute to the water hardness to some extent. The principle sources of hardness in water are sedimentary rocks, seepage and runoff from soil. The hardness may be due to sewage and pollution and is reported by Trivedy and Goel (1986), (Chaudhary et al. 2004), (Maity et al. 2004), Rajalakshmi and Sreelatha (2005). Leaching of rocks and dissolution by flood waters are the reasons of hardness as noted by (Bhalla et al. 2007). According to (Abassi et al. 1996) presence of toxic heavy metals in the water may also cause high hardness in water.

The total hardness of water may range from trace amounts to hundred of milligrams per liter. The total hardness is of two types namely, temporary and permanent. Temporary hardness is caused by combination of calcium ions and bicarbonate ions in the water. It can be removed by boiling the water or by the addition of lime (calcium hydroxide). Permanent hardness is hardness (mineral content) that cannot be removed by boiling. It is usually caused by the presence of calcium and magnesium sulfates and/or chlorides in the water, which become more soluble as the temperature rises.

The present investigation reveals that the hardness of water ranged between 301 and 525 mg/l (Table No. 6). According to the Indian standard specification for drinking water (IS 10500), the hardness of drinking water ranges between 300 to 600 mg/l. Though within the limit, the

hardness of water was always towards upper side throughout the study period imparting unpalatable taste to the water and cosmetic problems.

### **Calcium Hardness (Ca-H)**

Calcium Hardness is caused by the presence of calcium ions in the water. Calcium, in the form of the  $\text{Ca}^{++}$  ion, is one of the major inorganic cations, or positive ions, in saltwater and freshwater. Calcium salts can be readily precipitated from water and high levels of calcium hardness tend to promote scale formation in the water system. Calcium Hardness is an important control test in industrial water systems such as boilers and steam raising plants and for swimming pools.

Most calcium in surface water comes from streams flowing over limestone, gypsum and other calcium-containing rocks and minerals. Groundwater and underground aquifers leach even higher concentrations of calcium ions from rocks and soil. Calcium carbonate is relatively insoluble in water, but dissolves more readily in water containing significant levels of dissolved carbon dioxide.

During the preset study, the Ca-hardness ranged between 80 and 220 mg/l (Table No. 7). According to Indian standard specification for drinking water the Ca-hardness ranges between 75 to 200 mg/l. The Ca- hardness was always towards higher side.

### **Magnesium Hardness**

Along with total hardness magnesium hardness was also calculated. Magnesium hardness gives idea regarding magnesium salts dissolved in the water. The source of magnesium is leaching from the rock and the salts that come through soaps and detergents. Though magnesium ions impart in increasing hardness of the water, it has no significant impact on the water quality.

The magnesium hardness was found varying from to 60 to 417 mg/l (Table No. 8). According to Indian standard specification for drinking water the Mg-hardness ranges between 30 to 100 mg/l. The Mg-hardness was always towards higher side.

### **Silicates**

Silica is widespread and always present in surface and groundwaters. It exists in water in dissolved, suspended and colloidal states. Dissolved forms are represented mostly by silicic acid,

products of its dissociation and association and organosilicon compounds. Reactive silicon (principally silicic acid but usually recorded as dissolved silica ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) or sometimes as silicate ( $\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$ )) mainly arises from chemical weathering of siliceous minerals.

Silica may be discharged into water bodies with wastewaters from industries using siliceous compounds in their processes such as potteries, glass works and abrasive manufacture. Silica is also an essential element for certain aquatic plants (principally diatoms). It is taken up during cell growth and released during the decomposition and decay giving rise to seasonal fluctuations in concentrations, particularly in lakes. Silicon is known to be present in all living organisms. This element occurs in the form of hydrated amorphous silica, referred to as opal and is required for the production of structural materials in all organisms from single-celled organisms to higher plants and animals. For many live forms, silicon can even be considered to be an essential element.

The norms/standards for drinking water contained in IS 10500 (Bureau of Indian Standards) do not prescribe any permissible or desired limit for these two elements. The silicates ranged from 12 to 20 mg/l (Table No. 9) during the present study. The values are very high compared to the surface water.

### **Conclusion**

Dissolved oxygen, biological oxygen dissolved and chlorides were within permissible limits.  $\text{CO}_2$  were high imparting acidity to the water where as alkalinity was low indicating low buffering capacity. Total hardness, Ca-hardness and Mg-hardness were very high. Silicates were also high than other surface waters.

Hence application of water quality techniques for the overall assessment of the water body could be useful tools. The awareness must be created in the villagers about safe drinking water. The villagers should be made aware of basic water treatments to improve water quality. Finally safe drinking water must be made available for the villagers. There should be a continuous monitoring for water supplying areas, which will be beneficial to the people residing in the area.

**Table No. 1: Quarterly values of Dissolved Oxygen mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	5.1	6.3	6.9	7.2	6.9	7.2
Sept-11	7.2	6.2	6.3	7.8	5.9	7.0
Dec-11	5.1	6.5	5.9	7.4	6.9	6.5
Mar-12	6.3	7	6.5	6.9	6.4	6.0
Jun-12	6.4	7.2	5.8	6.9	6.5	6.6
Sept-12	4.9	6.9	6.3	7	6.3	5.9
Dec-12	5.9	6.2	6.3	7.2	6.4	7.2
<b>Max.</b>	7.2	7.2	6.9	7.8	6.9	7.2
<b>Min.</b>	4.9	6.2	5.8	6.9	5.9	5.9
<b>Average</b>	5.84	6.61	6.29	7.20	6.47	6.63

**Table No. 2: Quarterly values of Biochemical Oxygen Demand mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	0.3	1	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.6
Sept-11	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.5	1.3	0.8
Dec-11	4	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.4	1.2
Mar-12	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.9
Jun-12	0.4	3.5	0.6	1.2	0.4	0.2
Sept-12	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.8	1.5	0.7
Dec-12	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.7	1.5	0.9
<b>Max.</b>	4	3.5	0.7	1.2	1.5	1.2
<b>Min.</b>	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.2
<b>Average</b>	0.83	0.89	0.40	0.77	0.91	0.76

**Table No. 3: Quarterly values of Chlorides mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	20.018	12.035	24.235	17.321	18.652	25.321
Sept-11	18.023	18.032	18.023	16.231	16.452	21.450
Dec-11	21.320	21.036	18.965	14.256	17.362	19.045
Mar-12	22.352	25.321	28.658	32.587	29.236	35.425
Jun-12	23.016	15.023	17.568	18.325	18.145	15.254
Sept-12	25.036	18.325	15.569	15.325	26.356	17.450
Dec-12	18.036	17.012	20.145	15.023	23.145	16.325
<b>Max.</b>	25.036	25.321	28.658	32.587	29.236	35.425
<b>Min.</b>	18.023	12.035	15.569	14.256	16.452	15.254
<b>Average</b>	21.11	18.11	20.45	18.44	21.34	21.47



**Table No. 4: Quarterly values of Free CO<sub>2</sub> mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	34	32	42	30	24	29
Sept-11	32	32	42	25	29	29
Dec-11	28	41	42	24	25	26
Mar-12	26	42	40	30	31	31
Jun-12	32	36	39	31	24	28
Sept-12	41	33	31	32	26	29
Dec-12	26	32	40	24	31	32
<b>Max.</b>	41	42	42	32	31	32
<b>Min.</b>	26	32	31	24	24	26
<b>Average</b>	31.28	35.42	39.42	28	27.14	29.14

**Table No. 5: Quarterly values of Alkalinity mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	115	95	82	85	89	115
Sept-11	120	89	87	102	92	153
Dec-11	125	102	89	95	96	158
Mar-12	126	125	98	89	89	148
Jun-12	98	111	83	87	90	120
Sept-12	102	114	85	86	82	135
Dec-12	110	128	82	87	90	141
<b>Max.</b>	126	128	98	102	96	158
<b>Min.</b>	98	89	82	85	82	120
<b>Average</b>	113.71	110.42	86.57	90.14	89.71	138.57

**Table No. 6: Quarterly values of Hardness mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	415	325	511	456	515	415
Sept-11	496	365	492	456	525	485
Dec-11	488	356	429	465	492	485
Mar-12	496	369	485	486	498	495
Jun-12	475	385	465	486	502	497
Sept-12	475	365	465	486	515	485
Dec-12	480	301	485	478	515	425
<b>Max.</b>	496	385	511	486	525	497
<b>Min.</b>	415	301	429	456	492	415
<b>Average</b>	475	352.28	475	473.28	508.85	469.57

**Table No. 7: Quarterly values of Ca-Hardness mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	210	165	200	185	220	195
Sept-11	210	165	200	185	220	190
Dec-11	210	170	210	190	210	210
Mar-12	200	170	185	210	200	210
Jun-12	210	150	185	185	200	80
Sept-12	200	175	210	210	220	190
Dec-12	210	180	185	185	105	190
<b>Max.</b>	210	180	210	210	220	210
<b>Min.</b>	200	150	185	185	105	80
<b>Average</b>	207.14	167.86	196.43	192.86	196.43	180.71

**Table No. 8: Quarterly values of Mg-Hardness mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	205	60	311	271	295	220
Sept-11	286	100	292	271	305	295
Dec-11	278	86	219	275	282	275
Mar-12	296	99	300	276	298	285
Jun-12	265	135	280	301	302	417
Sept-12	275	90	255	276	295	295
Dec-12	270	121	300	293	410	235
<b>Max.</b>	296	135	311	301	410	417
<b>Min.</b>	205	60	219	271	282	220
<b>Average</b>	267.86	98.71	279.57	280.43	312.43	288.86

**Table No. 9: Quarterly values of Silicates mg/l at 6 Sampling Stations from June 2011 to Dec. 2012**

Site Month	Warvathane (S1)	Bhatsai (S2)	Ainghar (S3)	Bangalwadi (S4)	Kansai (S5)	Shenvai (S6)
Jun-11	12	18.85	15.25	17.25	15.45	14.52
Sept-11	12.5	20	12.55	17.5	18.55	15.25
Dec-11	16.85	18.25	14.55	16.9	19.25	15.25
Mar-12	12.95	15.55	15.55	15.15	18.9	15.25
Jun-12	12.35	17.85	12.25	15.65	19	18.52
Sept-12	12.45	16.56	15.25	17.55	14.25	17.58
Dec-12	15.5	18.55	15.25	18.55	15.52	15.35
<b>Max.</b>	16.85	20	15.55	18.55	19.25	18.52
<b>Min.</b>	12	15.65	12.25	15.15	14.25	14.52
<b>Average</b>	13.51	17.96	14.38	16.94	17.27	15.96

**Table No. 10: Standards of various physico-chemical parameters**

Sr.No.	Parameters	USPH Standards	ISI Standards	WHO Standards	BIS Standards
1	pH	6.0-8.5	6.0-9.0	-	-
2	Conductivity	300µmhocm-1	-	-	-
3	Turbidity	<5NTU	-	-	-
4	TDS	500mg/lit	-	-	-
5	Free CO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	-	-
6	Alkalinity	-	200 mg/lit	-	-
7	Total Hardness	-	300 mg/lit	-	-
8	Calcium	0.05	100-500 mg/lit	150 mg/lit	-
9	Magnesium	< 10 mg/lit	30-50 mg/lit	150 mg/lit	-
10	Chlorides	250 mg/lit	600 mg/lit	500 mg/lit	600 mg/lit
11	Sulphates	< 0.3 mg/lit	-	200-400 mg/lit	1000 mg/lit
12	Iron	< 0.3 mg/lit	0.3 mg/lit	0.1-1.0 mg/lit	-
13	DO	4-6 ppm	3.0 ppm	-	-
14	COD	4.0 ppm	10.0 ppm	-	-

### References

1. Abbasi, S. A., Arya, D.S., Hamid, A.S., and Abbasi, N. (1996) Water quality of Kerala, Pannurpuza. Poll. Res.15(2), pp:163-166.
2. APHA: (1990) Standard Methods for the examination of water and waste water, 15th edition. APHA, New York, USA.
3. Bhalla, R., V.S. Lomte and M.B. Mule (2007) Investigation of physico-chemical parameters of Godavari river in Nashik city, Maharashtra. Poll Res.,26(3), pp:495-498.
4. BIS IS 10500 (1991), standard parameters given by Government of India.
5. BIS IS 13428 (2005), Standard parameters of drinking water given by Government of India.
6. Cerqueira, M.A; J.F. Silva; F.P. Magalhaes; F.M. Soares and J.J. Pato (2007) Assessment of water quality in the Antua river basin (Noethwester)
7. Chatterjee, Chinmoy and M.Raziuddin (2003) Assessment of physico-chemical and microbial status of river Nunia in relation to its impact of Public health. Journal of Environment and Pollution,8(3), pp:267-270.
8. Chaudhary, S. Anuradha and K. V. Sastry (2004) Pollution of river Yamuna at Faridabad (Haryana). Poll. Res., 23(4). Pp.749-756.
9. Dey, Kallol, Mohapatra, S. C., Misra Bidyabati (2005)assessment of water quality parameters of the river Brahmani at Rourkela. J. Indl. Polln. Contl., 21(2), pp:265-270

10. Ghavzan , Naser Jafaro, V.R. Gunale and B. R. Pisal (2005). Water pollution monitoring of Mula and Pavana rivers from Pune (India) urban area. *Asian J. of Microbiol. Biotech, Env.Sc*, 7(4), pp:785-790.
11. Kennedy , J.T. and S.C. Whalen (2008). Seasonality and controls of phytoplankton productivity in the middle Cape Fear River, USA.. *Hydrobiologia*,598,pp:203-217
12. Kodarkar M.S. (1992) *Methodology for water analysis. Physico-chemical, biological and microbiological. I.A.A.B. Publication. Hyderabad Publication 2.* pp:50.
13. Koshy Mathew (2005) A comparative study of the lotic and lentic systems of Mavelikara Taluk in Kerala. *Poll Res.*,24(4), pp:809-814.
14. Manna, R.K. and A.K. Das (2004) Impact of the river Moosi on river Krishna I.Limnochemistry. *Poll Res.*,23(1),117-124.
15. Mathur, S.P. and N. Maheshwari (2005) Physico-chemical aspect of pollution in Chambal river. *Indian J. Environmental Protection*,25(10) pp:933-937.
16. Newton, Tedder, Coefer Joshua and Hon Rudolph (2007) Chloride patterns in two rivers located in urban and rural areas in eastern and central Massachusetts. Northeastern Section- 42<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting (12-14 March 2007) Paper No.50-54.
17. Prakash, K.L.; K.Raghavendra and R.K Somashekar (2007) seasonal variation in the water quality of river Cauvery- a study with reference to point source pollution at Kollegal stretch. *Indian J.Environ and Ecoplan.*, 14(1-2),pp:29-34.
18. Rajalakshmi, S. and K Sreelatha (2005) Studies on physico-chemical parameters of river Goutami Godavari Yanam (Union Territory of Pondicherry). *J. Aqua.Bio.*20(2),pp:110-112.
19. Sechrist, R.E. (1960) Relationship between total alkalinity, conductivity , original pH and buffer action of natural water. *The Ohio Journal of Science*, 60(5).Pp:303-308.
20. Shah , Bhavana A., Ajay V.Shah and Nilesh D.Ahire (2005) Characteristics of Purna rivers water of Navsari and removal of trace metals by ion –exchange process using preconcentration technique. *Poll. Res.*24(2),pp:415-422.
21. Singh, Jitendra; D.K. Agrawal and Shradha Panwar (2008) Seasonal variation in different physico-chemical characteristics of Yamuna river water quality in proposed Lakhwar hydropower project influence area. *International Journal of Applied Environmental Sciences*, 3(1).pp:107-117.

22. Tiwary, R. K., G. p. rajak, Abhishek and M. R. Mondal (2005) Water quality assessment of Ganga river in Bihar region, India. Journal of Environ, Science and Engg.,47(4),pp:326-335.
23. Trivedy, R.K. and Goel, P.K. (1984) Chemical and Biological methods for water pollution studies. Environmental Publ., Karad, India, pp:122.
24. Trivedy, R.K. (1986), Role of Algae in bio-monitoring of water pollution, paper presented at workshop on Freshwater Management, Univ of Bhopal, Bhopal, Jan. 1986.