

ON FUZZY ALMOST P-SPACES

G.Thangaraj, C.Anbazhagan

Department of Mathematics, Thiruvalluvar University, Vellore - 632 115, Tamilnadu, India.

Department of Mathematics, Jawahar Science College, Neyveli – 607 803, Tamilnadu, India.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss several characterizations of fuzzy almost P-spaces and the conditions under which fuzzy topological spaces become fuzzy almost P-spaces, are investigated. Some results concerning functions that preserve fuzzy almost P-spaces in the context of images and preimages are obtained.

KEY WORDS : Fuzzy G_δ -set, fuzzy F_σ -set, fuzzy dense set, fuzzy nowhere dense set, fuzzy submaximal space, fuzzy D-Baire space, fuzzy strongly irresolvable space, somewhat fuzzy continuous function, somewhat fuzzy open function.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to deal with uncertainties, the concept of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations were first introduced by **L.A.ZADEH** [23] in his classical paper in the year 1965, describing fuzziness mathematically for the first time. This inspired mathematicians to fuzzify mathematical structures. The concepts of fuzzy topology was defined by **C.L.CHANG** [3] in the year 1968. The paper of Chang paved the way for the subsequent tremendous growth of the numerous fuzzy topological concepts. Since then much attention has been paid to

generalize the basic concepts of general topology in fuzzy setting and thus a modern theory of fuzzy topology has been developed.

A.K.MISHRA [8] introduced the concepts of P-spaces as a generalization of ω_α -additive spaces of SIKORSKI [10] and COHEN, L.W. and C. GOFFMAN [5]. The concept of P-spaces in fuzzy setting was introduced by G. BALASUBRAMANIAN in [13]. Almost P-spaces in classical topology was introduced by A.I. Veksler [21] and was also studied further by R. Levy [7]. Chang IL Kim [4] studied several characterizations almost P-spaces. The concept of almost P-spaces in fuzzy setting was introduced by the authors in [16]. In this paper we discuss several characterizations of fuzzy almost P-spaces and the conditions under which fuzzy topological spaces become fuzzy almost P-spaces, are investigated. Some results concerning functions that preserve fuzzy almost P-spaces in the context of images and preimages are obtained.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Now we introduce some basic notions and results used in the sequel.

In this work by (X, T) or simply by X , we will denote a fuzzy topological space due to CHANG (1968).

Definition 2.1 : Let λ and μ be any two fuzzy sets in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) . Then we define :

(i). $\lambda \vee \mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ as follows : $(\lambda \vee \mu)(x) = \text{Max} \{ \lambda(x), \mu(x) \}$.

(ii). $\lambda \wedge \mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ as follows : $(\lambda \wedge \mu)(x) = \text{Min} \{ \lambda(x), \mu(x) \}$.

(iii). $\mu = \lambda^c \Leftrightarrow \mu(x) = 1 - \lambda(x)$.

More generally, for a family $\{\lambda_i / i \in I\}$ of fuzzy sets in (X, T) , $\psi = \bigvee_i (\lambda_i)$ and $\delta = \bigwedge_i (\lambda_i)$, are defined respectively as $\psi(x) = \sup_i \{\lambda_i(x), x \in X\}$ and $\delta(x) = \inf_i \{\lambda_i(x), x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.2 : Let (X, T) be a fuzzy topological space and λ be any fuzzy set in (X, T) . We define the interior and the closure of λ respectively as follows :

- (i). $\text{int}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \mu / \mu \leq \lambda, \mu \in T \}$
- (ii). $\text{cl}(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ \mu / \lambda \leq \mu, 1 - \mu \in T \}$.

Lemma 2.1 [1] : For a fuzzy set λ of a fuzzy topological space X ,

- (i). $1 - \text{int}(\lambda) = \text{cl}(1 - \lambda)$,
- (ii). $1 - \text{cl}(\lambda) = \text{int}(1 - \lambda)$.

Definition 2.3 [14] : A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called fuzzy dense if there exists no fuzzy closed set μ in (X, T) such that $\lambda < \mu < 1$. That is, $\text{cl}(\lambda) = 1$.

Definition 2.4 [14] : A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called fuzzy nowhere dense if there exists no non-zero fuzzy open set μ in (X, T) such that $\mu < \text{cl}(\lambda)$. That is, $\text{int} \text{cl}(\lambda) = 0$.

Definition 2.5 [2] : A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy F_σ -set in (X, T) if $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$ where $1 - \lambda_i \in T$ for $i \in I$.

Definition 2.6 [2] : A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) if $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$ where $\lambda_i \in T$ for $i \in I$.

Definition 2.7 [1] : A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called

(i).a fuzzy regular open set in (X,T) if $\text{int cl}(\lambda) = \lambda$,

(ii).a fuzzy regular closed set in (X,T) if $\text{cl int}(\lambda) = \lambda$,

(ii).a fuzzy semi-open set in (X,T) if $\lambda \leq \text{cl int}(\lambda)$,

(ii).a fuzzy semi-closed set in (X,T) if $\text{int cl}(\lambda) \leq \lambda$.

Lemma 2.2 [1]: For a family \mathcal{A} of $\{\lambda_\alpha\}$ of fuzzy sets of a fuzzy topological space (X,T) , $\text{cl}(\bigvee \lambda_\alpha) \leq \bigvee \text{cl}(\lambda_\alpha)$. In case \mathcal{A} is a finite set, $\text{cl}(\bigvee \lambda_\alpha) = \bigvee \text{cl}(\lambda_\alpha)$. Also $\bigvee \text{int}(\lambda_\alpha) \leq \text{int}(\bigvee \lambda_\alpha)$ in (X,T) .

Definition 2.9 [14]: A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X,T) is called a fuzzy first category set if $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in (X,T) . Any other fuzzy set in (X,T) is said to be of fuzzy second category.

Definition 2.10 [14]: A fuzzy topological space (X,T) is called fuzzy first category if $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i) = 1_X$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in (X,T) . A topological space which is not of fuzzy first category, is said to be of fuzzy second category.

Definition 2.11 [3]: Let (X,T) and (Y,S) be any two fuzzy topological spaces. Let f be a function from the fuzzy topological space (X,T) to the fuzzy topological space (Y,S) . Let λ be a fuzzy set in (Y,S) . The inverse image of λ under f written as $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is the fuzzy set in (X,T) defined by $f^{-1}(\lambda)(x) = \lambda(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Also the image of λ in (X,T) under f written as $f(\lambda)$ is the fuzzy set in (Y,S) defined by

$$f(\lambda)(y) = \begin{cases} \text{Sup } \lambda(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \text{ is non - empty;} \\ x \in f^{-1}(y) & \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{for each } y \in Y.$$

Lemma 2.3[3]: Let $f: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be a mapping. For fuzzy sets λ and μ of (X, T) and (Y, S) respectively, the following statements hold :

- (1) $ff^{-1}(\mu) \leq \mu$;
- (2) $f^{-1}f(\lambda) \geq \lambda$;
- (3) $f(1 - \lambda) \geq 1 - f(\lambda)$;
- (4) $f^{-1}(1 - \mu) = 1 - f^{-1}(\mu)$;
- (5) If f is one - to - one , then $f^{-1}f(\lambda) = \lambda$;
- (6) If f is onto , then $ff^{-1}(\mu) = \mu$;
- (7) If f is one - to - one and onto, then $f(1 - \lambda) = 1 - f(\lambda)$.

LEMMA 2.4 [1]: Let $f: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be a mapping and $\{\lambda_\alpha\}$ be a family of fuzzy sets of Y . Then

- (a) $f^{-1}(\cup_\alpha \lambda_j) = \cup_\alpha f^{-1}(\lambda_j)$.
- (b) $f^{-1}(\cap_\alpha \lambda_j) = \cap_\alpha f^{-1}(\lambda_j)$.

Lemma 2.5 [6]: Let $f: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be a mapping and $\{A_j\}, j \in J$ be a family of fuzzy sets in X . Then

- (a) $f(\cup_{j \in J} A_j) = \cup_{j \in J} f(A_j)$.
- (b) $f(\cap_{j \in J} A_j) \leq \cap_{j \in J} f(A_j)$.

3. FUZZY ALMOST P-SPACES

Almost P-spaces in classical topology was introduced by A.I. Veksler [21] and was also studied further by R.Levy [7]. Chang IL Kim [4] studied several characterizations of almost P-

spaces. Motivated by these ideas, the concept of almost P-spaces in fuzzy setting, is introduced in [16].

Definition 3.1 [16]: A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy almost P-space if for every non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set λ in (X, T) , $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$ in (X, T) .

Proposition 3.1 : If λ is a fuzzy F_σ -set in a fuzzy almost P-space (X, T) , then $\text{cl}(\lambda) \neq 1$.

Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy F_σ -set in a fuzzy almost P-space (X, T) . Then, $(1 - \lambda)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, for the fuzzy G_δ -set $(1 - \lambda)$, we have $\text{int}(1 - \lambda) \neq 0$. This implies that $1 - \text{cl}(\lambda) \neq 0$ and hence we have $\text{cl}(\lambda) \neq 1$.

Remark 3.1: In view of the proposition 3.1, we have the following result : “ If λ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in a fuzzy almost P-space (X, T) , then $\text{cl}(1 - \lambda) \neq 1$.”

The following propositions give the conditions for a fuzzy topological space to be a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proposition 3.2 : If each non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set is a fuzzy regular closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) , then (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proof: Let λ be a non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) such that $\text{cl} \text{int}(\lambda) = \lambda$. We claim that $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$. Assume the contrary. Then $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$, will imply that $\text{cl} \text{int}(\lambda) = \text{cl}(0) = 0$ and hence we will have $\lambda = 0$, a contradiction to λ being a non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) . Hence we must have $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$, for a fuzzy G_δ -set λ in (X, T) and therefore (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proposition 3.3 : If each non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set is a fuzzy semi-open set in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) , then (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P - space.

Proof: Let λ be a non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) such that $\lambda \leq \text{cl}[\text{int}(\lambda)]$. We claim that $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$. Assume the contrary. Then $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$, will imply that $\text{cl} \text{int}(\lambda) = \text{cl}(0) = 0$ and hence we will have $\lambda = 0$, a contradiction to λ being a non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) . Hence we must have $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$, for a fuzzy G_δ -set λ in (X, T) and therefore (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P - space.

The following proposition shows that a fuzzy first category set, is not a fuzzy dense set in a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proposition 3.4 : If λ is a fuzzy first category set in a fuzzy almost P – space (X, T) , then $\text{cl}(\lambda) \neq 1$, in (X, T) .

Proof : Let λ be a fuzzy first category set in (X, T) . Then, $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in (X, T) . Now $\lambda_i \leq \text{cl}(\lambda_i)$, implies that $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)$ and hence we have $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)) \leq \text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i))$. Then $\text{cl}(\lambda) \leq \text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)) \dots(1)$. Now $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)$ is a fuzzy F_σ -set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, by proposition 3.1, $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)) \neq 1 \dots(2)$. Now we claim that λ is not a fuzzy dense set in (X, T) . Assume the contrary. Suppose that λ is a fuzzy dense set, then $\text{cl}(\lambda) = 1$, implies from (1), that $1 \leq \text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i))$. That is, $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)) = 1$, a contradiction to (2). Hence we must have $\text{cl}(\lambda) \neq 1$, in (X, T) .

Proposition 3.5 : If each non-zero fuzzy first category set is a fuzzy dense set in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) , then (X, T) is not a fuzzy almost P -space.

Proof : Let λ be a fuzzy first category set in (X, T) such that $\text{cl}(\lambda) = 1$. Then $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in (X, T) . Now $1 - \text{cl}(\lambda_i)$ is a fuzzy open set in (X, T) . Let $\mu = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} [1 - \text{cl}(\lambda_i)]$. Then μ is a fuzzy G_{δ} -set in (X, T) . Now $\mu = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} [1 - \text{cl}(\lambda_i)] = 1 - [\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)] \leq 1 - [\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)] = 1 - \lambda$. That is, $\mu \leq 1 - \lambda$. Then $\text{int}(\mu) \leq \text{int}(1 - \lambda)$ and hence $\text{int}(\mu) \leq 1 - \text{cl}(\lambda) = 1 - 1 = 0$. That is, $\text{int}(\mu) = 0$. Hence, for the fuzzy G_{δ} -set μ in (X, T) , $\text{int}(\mu) = 0$. Therefore (X, T) is not a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proposition 3.6 : If γ is a fuzzy residual set in a fuzzy almost P-space (X, T) , then $\text{int}(\gamma) \neq 0$, in (X, T) .

Proof : Let γ be a fuzzy residual set in (X, T) . Then, $(1 - \gamma)$ is a fuzzy first category set in (X, T) and hence by proposition 3.4, $\text{cl}(1 - \gamma) \neq 1$ in (X, T) . Therefore $1 - \text{int}(\gamma) = \text{cl}(1 - \gamma) \neq 1$. Therefore $\text{int}(\gamma) \neq 0$, in (X, T) .

Proposition 3.7 : If γ is a fuzzy residual set in a fuzzy almost P-space (X, T) , then there exists a fuzzy G_{δ} -set μ in (X, T) such that $\mu \leq \gamma$ in (X, T) .

Proof : Let γ be a fuzzy residual set in (X, T) . Then, $(1 - \gamma)$ is a fuzzy first category set in (X, T) . Let $\lambda = 1 - \gamma$. Then $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in (X, T) . Now $[1 - \text{cl}(\lambda_i)]$ is a fuzzy open set in (X, T) . Let $\mu = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} [1 - \text{cl}(\lambda_i)]$. Then μ is a fuzzy G_{δ} -set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, $\text{int}(\mu) \neq 0$, in (X, T) . Let $\text{int}(\mu) = \delta$, where $\delta \in T$. Now $\mu = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} [1 - \text{cl}(\lambda_i)] = 1 - [\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)] \leq 1 - [\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)] = 1 - \lambda$. That is, $\mu \leq \gamma$. Thus, if γ is a fuzzy residual set in a fuzzy almost P-space (X, T) , then there exists a fuzzy G_{δ} -set μ in (X, T) such that $\mu \leq \gamma$ in (X, T) .

Proposition 3.8 : If μ is a fuzzy residual set in a fuzzy almost P - space (X,T) , then μ is not a fuzzy nowhere dense set in (X,T) .

Proof : Let μ be a fuzzy residual set in a fuzzy almost P – space (X,T) . Then, by proposition 3.6, $\text{int}(\mu) \neq 0$, in (X,T) . We claim that $\text{int} \text{cl}(\mu) \neq 0$. Assume the contrary. Then $\text{int} \text{cl}(\mu) = 0$ and $\text{int}(\mu) \leq \text{int} \text{cl}(\mu)$, will imply that $\text{int}(\mu) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence μ is not a fuzzy nowhere dense set in (X,T) .

Remark 3.1: If λ is a fuzzy semi-open set in a fuzzy topological space (X,T) , then $\text{cl}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy regular closed set in (X,T) .

For, if λ is a fuzzy semi-open set in (X,T) , then $\lambda \leq \text{cl}[\text{int}(\lambda)]$ and hence $\text{cl}(\lambda) \leq \text{cl}(\text{cl}[\text{int}(\lambda)]) = \text{cl}[\text{int}(\lambda)] \leq \text{cl}[\text{int} \text{cl}(\lambda)] \dots\dots(1)$. Also we have $\text{cl}[\text{int} \text{cl}(\lambda)] \leq \text{cl}[\text{cl}(\lambda)] = \text{cl}(\lambda) \dots\dots(2)$. From (1) and (2), $\text{cl}[\text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda))] = \text{cl}(\lambda)$ and hence $\text{cl}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy regular closed set in (X,T) .

Proposition 3.9 : If (λ_i) 's are fuzzy regular closed sets in a fuzzy almost P - space (X,T) , then $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) \neq 1$, in (X,T) .

Proof: Let (λ_i) 's be fuzzy regular closed sets in (X,T) . Then, $(1-\lambda_i)$'s are fuzzy regular open sets in (X,T) . Since the fuzzy regular open sets are fuzzy open sets in a fuzzy topological space, $(1-\lambda_i)$'s are fuzzy open sets in (X,T) and hence $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-\lambda_i)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (X,T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, $\text{int}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-\lambda_i)) \neq 0$ in (X,T) . Then we have $\text{int}(1-\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) \neq 0$ and therefore $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) \neq 1$, in (X,T) .

Proposition 3.10 : If (λ_i) 's are fuzzy semi-open sets in a fuzzy almost P – space (X,T) , then $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}[\text{cl}(\lambda_i)]) \neq 1$, in (X,T) .

Proof: Let (λ_i) 's be fuzzy semi-open sets in (X, T) . Then, by remark 3.1, $\text{cl}(\lambda_i)$'s are fuzzy regular closed sets in (X, T) and hence, by proposition 3.8, $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} [\text{cl}(\lambda_i)]) \neq 1$, in (X, T) .

4. FUZZY ALMOST P-SPACES and OTHER FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 4.1 [13] : A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy P-space if countable intersection of fuzzy open sets in (X, T) is fuzzy open. That is, every non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) , is fuzzy open in (X, T) .

Proposition 4.1 : If a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, then (X, T) is a fuzzy second category space.

Proof : Let the fuzzy topological space (X, T) be a fuzzy almost P-space. Suppose that (X, T) is a fuzzy first category space. Then $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) = 1$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in (X, T) . Now $\lambda_i \leq \text{cl}(\lambda_i)$, implies that $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i) \dots (1)$. Also $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)$ is a fuzzy F_σ -set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, by proposition 3.1, $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)) \neq 1 \dots (2)$. From (1), we have $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)) \leq \text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i))$. This implies that $\text{cl}(1) \leq \text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i))$ and hence we have $1 \leq \text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i))$. That is, $\text{cl}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}(\lambda_i)) = 1$, a contradiction to (2). Hence we must have $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) \neq 1$, in (X, T) and therefore (X, T) is a fuzzy second category space.

Definition 4.2 [20] : A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be a fuzzy strongly irresolvable space if $\text{cl} \text{int}(\lambda) = 1$, for each fuzzy dense set λ in (X, T) .

Proposition 4.2 : If each non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set is a fuzzy dense set in a fuzzy strongly irresolvable space, then (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proof : Let λ be a non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) . Then, by hypothesis, λ is a fuzzy dense set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy strongly irresolvable space, we have

$\text{cl}(\text{int}(\lambda)) = 1$. Then, we have $\text{int}(\square) \neq 0$, [Otherwise $\text{int}(\square) = 0$, will imply $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\square)) = \text{cl}(0) = 0$, a contradiction]. Hence, for every non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set λ in (X, T) , we have $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$ in (X, T) . Therefore (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Definition 4.3 [15]: A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy resolvable space if there exists a fuzzy dense set λ in (X, T) such that $\text{cl}(1 - \lambda) = 1$. Otherwise (X, T) is called a fuzzy irresolvable space.

Theorem 4.1 [11]: If λ is a fuzzy dense and fuzzy G_δ -set in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) , then $(1 - \lambda)$ is a fuzzy first category set in (X, T) .

Proposition 4.3 : If each non-zero fuzzy dense set is a fuzzy G_δ -set in a fuzzy almost P-space, then (X, T) is a fuzzy irresolvable space.

Proof : Let λ be a non-zero fuzzy dense set in (X, T) . Then, by hypothesis, λ is a fuzzy G_δ -set and hence λ is a fuzzy dense and fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) . Then, by theorem 4.1, $1 - \lambda$ is a fuzzy first category set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, by proposition 3.4, $\text{cl}(1 - \square) \neq 1$, in (X, T) . Thus, for each fuzzy dense set λ in (X, T) , we have $\text{cl}(1 - \square) \neq 1$, in (X, T) . Hence (X, T) is not a fuzzy resolvable space and therefore (X, T) is a fuzzy irresolvable space.

Definition 4.4 [2] : A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy submaximal space if for each fuzzy set \square in (X, T) such that $\text{cl}(\square) = 1$, then $\lambda \in T$ in (X, T) .

Proposition 4.4: If each non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set is a fuzzy dense set in a fuzzy submaximal space, then (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proof: Let λ be a non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) such that $\text{cl}(\lambda) = 1$. Since (X, T) is a fuzzy submaximal space, the fuzzy dense set λ in (X, T) , is a fuzzy open set in (X, T) and hence λ is a fuzzy semi-open set in (X, T) . Thus, each non-zero fuzzy G_δ -set is a fuzzy semi-open set in (X, T) . Then, by proposition 3.3, (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Definition 4.5 [12] : A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy D-Baire space if every fuzzy first category set in (X, T) , is a fuzzy nowhere dense set in (X, T) .

The following proposition gives a condition for a fuzzy almost P-space to be a fuzzy D-Baire space.

Proposition 4.5 : If $\text{int}(\mu) = 0$, for each fuzzy closed set μ in a fuzzy almost P-space, then (X, T) is a fuzzy D-Baire space.

Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy first category set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, by proposition 3.4, $\text{cl}(\lambda) \neq 1$, in (X, T) . Let $\text{cl}(\lambda) = \mu$, where $1 - \mu \in T$. Then $\text{int}[\text{cl}(\lambda)] = \text{int}[\mu] = 0$ (by hypothesis). Thus λ is a fuzzy nowhere dense set in (X, T) . Hence each fuzzy first category set in (X, T) , is a fuzzy nowhere dense set in (X, T) . Therefore (X, T) is a fuzzy D-Baire space.

Definition 4.6 [18]: A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is called a fuzzy GID-space if for each fuzzy dense and fuzzy G_δ -set λ in (X, T) , $\text{cl} \text{int}(\lambda) = 1$, in (X, T) .

Theorem 4.2 [18] : If each fuzzy G_δ -set is fuzzy dense in a fuzzy GID space, then (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Definition 4.6 [9] : A fuzzy topological space X is said to be fuzzy hyperconnected if every non-null fuzzy open subset of X is fuzzy dense in X . That is, a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is fuzzy hyperconnected if $\text{cl}(\mu_i) = 1$, for all $\mu_i \in T$.

Proposition 4.7 : If a fuzzy almost P space (X, T) is a fuzzy hyperconnected space, then (X, T) is a fuzzy GID space.

Proof : Let \square be a fuzzy dense and fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) . Since (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P – space, for the fuzzy G_δ -set \square in (X, T) , we have $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$ in (X, T) . Also, since (X, T) is a fuzzy hyperconnected, for the fuzzy open set $\text{int}(\lambda)$ in (X, T) , we have $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\lambda)) = 1$. Hence for a fuzzy dense and fuzzy G_δ -set \square in (X, T) , we have $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\square)) = 1$, in (X, T) . Therefore (X, T) is a fuzzy GID space.

5. FUZZY ALMOST P-SPACES and FUNCTIONS.

Theorem 5.1 [22] : Let $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be a fuzzy open function from a fuzzy topological space (X, T) into a fuzzy topological space (Y, S) . Then, for every fuzzy set β in (Y, S) , $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\beta)) \leq \text{cl} f^{-1}(\beta)$.

Theorem 5.2 [19] : Let $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be a fuzzy open function from a fuzzy topological space (X, T) into a fuzzy topological space (Y, S) . Then, for every fuzzy set δ in (Y, S) , $\text{int}(f^{-1}(\delta)) \leq f^{-1}(\text{int}(\delta))$.

Definition 5.1 [14]: A function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ from a fuzzy topological space (X, T) into another fuzzy topological space (Y, S) is called somewhat fuzzy

continuous if $\lambda \in S$ and $f^{-1}(\lambda) \neq 0$ implies that there exist a fuzzy open set δ in (X, T) such that $\delta \neq 0$ and $\delta \leq f^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Definition 5.2 [14]: A function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ from a fuzzy topological space (X, T) into another fuzzy topological space (Y, S) is called somewhat fuzzy open if $\lambda \in T$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ implies that there exists a fuzzy open set η in (Y, S) such that $\eta \neq 0$ and $\eta \leq f(\lambda)$.

Let f be a function from the fuzzy topological space (X, T) to the fuzzy topological space (Y, S) . Under what conditions on “ f ” may we assert that if (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, then (Y, S) is a fuzzy almost P-space? The following propositions establish the desired conditions.

Proposition 5.1: If a function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ from a fuzzy topological space (X, T) into another fuzzy topological space (Y, S) is fuzzy continuous function and if λ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (Y, S) , then $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) .

Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy G_δ -set in (Y, S) . Then, $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy open sets in (Y, S) . Now $f^{-1}(\lambda) = f^{-1}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} [f^{-1}(\lambda_i)]$. Since the function f is fuzzy continuous and (λ_i) 's are fuzzy open sets in (Y, S) , $[f^{-1}(\lambda_i)]$'s are fuzzy open sets in (X, T) . Hence $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (X, T) .

Proposition 5.2: If a function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ from a fuzzy topological space (X, T) onto another fuzzy topological space (Y, S) is fuzzy continuous, and fuzzy open function and if (X, T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, then (Y, S) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proof: Let \square be a fuzzy G_δ -set in (Y,S) . Since f is a fuzzy continuous function, by proposition 5.1, $f^{-1}(\square)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (X,T) . Since (X,T) is a fuzzy almost P space, $\text{int}[f^{-1}(\square)] \neq \emptyset$, in (X,T) . Since f is a fuzzy open function from (X,T) onto (Y,S) , for the fuzzy set \square in (Y,S) , by theorem 5.2, $\text{int}(f^{-1}(\square)) \leq f^{-1}(\text{int}(\square))$. Let $\mu = \text{int}[f^{-1}(\square)]$. Then, μ is a fuzzy open set in (X,T) . Now $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\text{int}(\square))$ implies that $f(\mu) \leq f[f^{-1}(\text{int}(\square))]$. Since the function f is onto, $f[f^{-1}(\text{int}(\square))] = \text{int}(\square)$ and hence $f(\mu) \leq \text{int}(\square)$. Since f is a fuzzy open function from (X,T) onto (Y,S) , for the fuzzy open set μ in (X,T) , $f(\mu)$ is a fuzzy open set in (Y,S) . Hence we have $\text{int}(\square) \neq \emptyset$, for the fuzzy G_δ -set \square in (Y,S) . Therefore (Y,S) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proposition 5.3 : If the function $f: (X,T) \rightarrow (Y,S)$ from a fuzzy topological space (X,T) onto another fuzzy topological space (Y,S) is a fuzzy continuous and somewhat fuzzy open function and if (X,T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, then (Y,S) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proof : Let \square be a fuzzy G_δ -set in a fuzzy topological space (Y,S) . Then, $\square = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} (\square_\alpha)$, where $(\lambda_i)'$ are fuzzy open sets in (Y,S) . Now $f^{-1}(\square) = f^{-1}(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} (\lambda_i)) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} f^{-1}(\lambda_i)$. Since f is a fuzzy continuous function from (X,T) onto (Y,S) and $(\lambda_i)'$ are fuzzy open sets in (Y,S) , $f^{-1}(\lambda_i)'$ are fuzzy open sets in (X,T) . Then $f^{-1}(\square)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (X,T) . Since (X,T) is a fuzzy almost P-space, $\text{int}[f^{-1}(\square)] \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a fuzzy open set μ in (X,T) such that $\mu \leq f^{-1}(\square)$. Then, $f(\mu) \leq f[f^{-1}(\square)]$. Since the function f is onto, by lemma 2.3, $f[f^{-1}(\lambda)] = \square$. Hence we have $f(\mu) \leq \square$. Since f is a somewhat fuzzy open function from (X,T) onto (Y,S) , for the fuzzy open set μ in (X,T) , there exists a fuzzy open set η in (Y,S) such that $\square \neq$

0 and $\eta \leq f(\mu)$. Thus we have $\eta \leq f(\mu)$ and hence $\eta \leq \square$. Hence we have $\text{int}(\square) \neq 0$, for the fuzzy G_δ -set \square in (Y,S) . Therefore (Y,S) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proposition 5.4 : If the function $f: (X,T) \rightarrow (Y,S)$ from a fuzzy topological space (X,T) onto another fuzzy topological space (Y,S) is a somewhat fuzzy continuous, one-to-one and fuzzy open function and if (Y,S) is a fuzzy almost P-space, then (X,T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

Proof : Let \square be fuzzy G_δ -set in the fuzzy topological space (X,T) . Then $\square = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\square_{\lambda_i})$, where (λ_i) 's are fuzzy open sets in (X,T) . Then $1 - \square = 1 - \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\square_{\lambda_i}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \square_{\lambda_i})$. Since f is one-to-one and onto, by lemma 2.3, $f(1 - \lambda) = 1 - f(\lambda)$ and hence $1 - f(\lambda) = f[\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \square_{\lambda_i})] = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f(1 - \square_{\lambda_i}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} [1 - f(\square_{\lambda_i})] = 1 - \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} f(\lambda_i)$. Then, we have $f(\lambda) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} f(\lambda_i)$. Since f is a fuzzy open function from (X,T) onto (Y,S) , for the fuzzy open sets (λ_i) 's in (X,T) , we have $(f(\lambda_i))$'s are fuzzy open sets in (Y,S) and hence $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} f(\lambda_i)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (Y,S) . Then, $f(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy G_δ -set in (Y,S) . Since (Y,S) is a fuzzy almost P-space, $\text{int}[f(\lambda)] \neq 0$. Then, there exists a fuzzy open set μ in (Y,S) such that $\mu \leq f(\lambda)$. This implies that $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}f(\lambda) = \square$ (since f is one-to-one, $f^{-1}f(\lambda) = \lambda$). Hence we have, $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \square$. Since f is a somewhat fuzzy continuous function, for the fuzzy open set μ in (Y,S) , there exist a fuzzy open set δ in (X,T) such that $\delta \neq 0$ and $\delta \leq f^{-1}(\mu)$. Then $\delta \leq f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \square$ and hence $\text{int}(\square) \neq 0$ in (X,T) . Therefore (X,T) is a fuzzy almost P-space.

REFERENCES

- [1]. K. K. Azad., *On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly*

continuity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol 82 (1981), 14 – 32.

- [2]. G. Balasubramanian, *Maximal fuzzy topologies*, Kybernetika, Vol. 31, No. 5 (1995), 459 – 464.
- [3]. C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 24, (1968), 182 – 190.
- [4]. Chang Il Kim, *Almost P-spaces*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. Vol. 18, No.4 (2003), 695– 701.
- [5]. Cohen, L.W. and C. Goffman, *A theory of transfinite convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949), 65–74.
- [6]. David H Foster, *Fuzzy Topological Groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 67(1979), 549 – 564.
- [7]. R. Levy, *Almost P-spaces*, Canad. J. Math., Vol. XXIX, No.2, (1977), 284 – 288.
- [8]. A.K. Misra., *A topological view of P spaces*, Gen. Topology Appl., Vol.2, No.4 (1972), 349–362.
- [9]. Miguel Caldas, Govindappa Navalagi and Ratnesh Saraf, *On fuzzy weakly semiopen functions*, Proyecciones - Revista de Mate. Chile, Vol.21, (2002), 51–63.
- [10]. Sikorski. R., *Remarks on spaces of high power*, Fund. Math., 37 (1950), 125 – 136.
- [11]. G. Thangaraj and S. Anjalmoose, *A note on fuzzy Baire spaces*, Int. J. Fuzzy Math. Sys, Vol. 3, No. 4 (2013), 269 –274.
- [12]. G. Thangaraj and S. Anjalmoose, *On fuzzy D-Baire spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 7(1) (2013), 99-108.

- [13]. G. Thangaraj and G. Balasubramanian, *On Fuzzy Basically Disconnected Spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math., Vol.9, No.1, (2001), 103 –110.
- [14]. G. Thangaraj and G. Balasubramanian, *On somewhat fuzzy continuous functions*, J. Fuzzy Math., Vol. 11, No.2, (2003), 725 – 736.
- [15]. G. Thangaraj and G. Balasubramanian, *On fuzzy resolvable and fuzzy irresolvable spaces*, Fuzzy sets, Rough sets, Multivalued Operations and Applications, 1(2) (2009), 173 – 180.
- [16]. G. Thangaraj, C. Anbazhagan and P. Vivakanandan, *On fuzzy P-spaces, Weak fuzzy P-spaces and fuzzy almost P-spaces*, Gen. Math. Notes., Vol. 18, No. 2. (2013), 128 – 139.
- [17]. G. Thangaraj and C. Anbazhagan, *Some remarks on fuzzy P-spaces*, Gen. Math. Notes., Vol. 26, No.1. (2015), 8– 16.
- [18]. G. Thangaraj and C. Anbazhagan, *On fuzzy GID spaces*, (communicated to Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.,)
- [19]. G. Thangaraj and E. Poongothai, *Fuzzy σ -Baire spaces and functions*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., Vol 7(3) (2014), 519 –528.
- [20] G. Thangaraj and V. Seenivasan, *On Fuzzy Strongly Irresolvable Spaces*, Proc. Nat. Conf. on Fuzzy Math. and Graph Theory, Jamal Mohamed College, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India, (2008), 1– 7.

[21]. A.I.Veksler , *P'-points, P'-sets, P'-spaces, A new class of order – continuous Measure*

and functionals, Soviet Math. Dokl. 4 , No. 5 (1973), 1445 – 1450.

[22]. .T. H. Yalvac, *Semi-interior and Semi-closure of a fuzzy set*, J. Math. Anal, Appl, 132 (1988), 356 – 364.

[23]. L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets*, Inform. and Control, Vol. 8, (1965), 338 – 358.