

Effect of Yeast Species on Total Soluble Solids, Total Polyphenol Content and Fermentation Index during Simulation Study of Cocoa Fermentation

Teng-Sin, O.¹, Sepiah, M.² and Khairul Bariah, S.³

^{1,2} Department of Plant Science and Environmental Ecology, Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia.

³ Cocoa Research and Development Centre (Hilir Perak), Malaysian Cocoa Board, P. O. Box 30, Sg. Dulang Road, 36307 Sg. Sumun, Perak, Malaysia.

Abstract

The polyphenols has potential of health beneficial and its changes in cocoa are related to fermentation index. However, relationship of yeast species to total soluble solids, total polyphenols and fermentation index changes during cocoa fermentation is still unclear. This study focused on effect of yeast as starter culture during cocoa fermentation towards those parameters. Sixteen species of yeast were used as starter cultures during simulation study of cacao fermentation in the laboratory. Study revealed that *Candida ethanolica* give the highest correlation with fermentation index whereas *Candida jaroonii* is the lowest correlation. None of the yeast species showed high correlation with total polyphenols content compared to the control. Conversely, all of the yeasts especially *Pichia kudriavzevii* showed higher correlation with total soluble solids compared to control. The study also exhibited that cacao simulation media method can be useful to predict yeast performance during cacao fermentation prior to field application.

Keywords: yeast species, total polyphenols content, fermentation index, sugar content of pulp, cacao simulation media

1. Introduction

Cacao is particularly rich in polyphenols and acts as one of the richest sources of antioxidants. Therefore, cocoa products such as chocolate can be a major source of dietary antioxidants and have protective effects against cardiovascular disease (Suzana & Emad, 2013). During cocoa fermentation, the colour of cocoa bean cotyledon changes from purple into brown colour (Lopez, 1986). Fresh cocoa beans have purple colour in the bean cotyledon. The purple colour is caused by anthocyanidin pigments, 3- β -D-galactosyl- and 3- α -L-arabinosyl-cyanidins. These pigments are hydrolysed by glycosidases during the cocoa fermentation, causing a paler purple colour in the cocoa bean. The glycosidases have not been characterized but maximum destruction of polyphenol pigments occur at 45 ° C in the pH of 3.8 to 4.5 (Biehl et al., 1989). Changes of colour in cocoa bean are commonly used to determine

flavour potential of cocoa beans and their suitability for chocolate processing. Furthermore, fermentation index and cut test are also based on the colour changes of cocoa cotyledons after cocoa fermentation is completed.

Polyphenols are stored in pigment cells or polyphenolic storage cells of the cotyledons. The polyphenolic storage cells in cocoa bean are white to deep purple in colour, depending on the amount of anthocyanins (a minor class of cocoa bean polyphenols) (Lopez, 1986; Camu et al., 2008). Polyphenolic storage cell in cocoa beans are made of 14-20% dry bean weight and contain a large vacuole filled with polyphenols and alkaloids which including caffeine (0.1-0.2%), theobromine (2.5-3.2%), and theophylline (Osman et al., 2004). The major polyphenolic compounds in cocoa seeds are catechins (3.0-6.0%), leucocyanidins (2.5%) and tannins (2.0-3.5%). The polyphenols have bitter, astringent flavours and antioxidant properties that help protect the cocoa seed from damage and disease (Kyi et al., 2005).

Cocoa beans have polyphenols and anthocyanin with flavan-3-ols and their derivatives in high concentration (Schwan & Wheals, 2004; Kyi et al., 2005). The concentration of polyphenols and anthocyanin can be influenced by a variety of biological and processing conditions of fresh cocoa beans until finished products where it might decrease from 100% to 10% in the final cocoa product throughout the different manufacturing processes such as fermentation, roasting and ditching (Gertner, 2004). In addition to that, the polyphenols content and profile in food can be influenced by factors such as environmental (sample origin, variety, degree of ripeness, climate and so on), food processing (heating and alkalisation) and food storage (refrigeration practice) (Rusconi & Conti, 2009). Genetics alone can cause 4-fold difference in polyphenolic content of fresh cocoa beans (Rusconi & Conti, 2009). Fermentation of cocoa beans is

critical because this process decreases the flavan-3-ol content to produce full cocoa flavour (Wollgast&Anklam, 2000; Rodriguez-Campos et al., 2011). Wollgast and Anklam (2000) have mentioned three groups of polyphenols which are catechins or flavan-3-ols, anthocyanins and proanthocyanidins. The main catechin is (-)-epicatechin which is up to 35% of polyphenol content. During cocoa fermentation, the polyphenols react with sugar and amino acids to contribute to flavour and colour of cocoa bean (Lehrian& Patterson, 1983; Afoakwa& Paterson, 2010).

Yeast has been found dominating the cocoa fermentation for the first 24 hours of the microbial succession (Schwan, 1998). The predominance and importance of yeast in cocoa fermentation is well recognized (Graham & Hugh, 2007). Wine yeast is well known to cause decrease in the phenolic content of wines (Caridi *et al.*, 2004). In wine research, phenolics play a major role in determining the quality of wine. Nevertheless, very little is known about the level of cacao polyphenols in cacao beans during cacao fermentation and how yeast species influence cocoa polyphenols. This experiment is to correlate the relationship of selected yeast species towards the total soluble solids of pulps, total polyphenols content and fermentation index of nibs during cocoa fermentation by using cacao simulation media prior to field application.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Simulation of Cocoa Fermentation

2.1a Cocoa Media

Media for cocoa fermentation in the laboratory was prepared according Pereira et al., (2012) with slight modification. A total of 500 mL of cocoa pulp medium which consist of 17g/l of fructose (Hamburg Chemicals), 25g/l of glucose (GLUCOLIN), 10 g/l of citric acid (Bendosen), 5g/l of yeast extract (USB Corporation Cleveland, USA), 5g/l of peptone (Thermo Scientific) and 20% (w/v) fresh cocoa seeds were prepared in Erlenmeyer flasks.

2.1b Yeast Starter Culture

A total of 16 species of yeast were used as the starter cultures, which were *Saturnispora diversa* (KT175190), *Candida ethanolica* (KT175192), *Candida jaroonii* (KT175172), *Candida quarcitrusa* (KT175173), *Candida tropicalisi* (KT175184), *Candida xylopsoci* (KT175174), *Geotrichum candidum* (KT175200), *Hanseniaspora opuntiae* (KT175183), *Hanseniaspora species* (KT175196), *Hanseniaspora thailandica* (KT175194), *Pichia kluyveri* (KT175195), *Pichia kudriavzevii* (KT175182), *Wickerhamomyces onychis* (KT175197), *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* (KT175177), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (KT175189) and *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* (KT175180). The yeasts were cultured according to modified method of Singh et al., (1997) in 1.5ml YEPD broth containing 1%

peptone (Thermo Scientific), 2% dextrose (Fisher Scientific) and 0.3% yeast extract (USB Corporation Cleveland, USA). The cultures were incubated in incubator (Binder, Germany) for 12 hours at 30 °C. The numbers of yeast cells in suspension were counted by haemocytometer (Hirschmann, Germany) in cells/ml unit and diluted with distilled water to concentration of 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ prior to use.

2.1c Cocoa Fermentation

A total of 1.5 mL of yeast starter culture was mixed with 500 ml of cocoa pulps media in the Erlenmeyer flask. The mixture was allowed to ferment for 120 hours in oven sterilized with 99% ethanol at 30 °C. The experiment was done in triplicate and samples were collected at 0, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 hours.

2.2a Total Soluble Solids of pulp

Total soluble solids of the fermented cocoa pulp were measured according to Khairul Bariah (2014) by using Atago Digital Hand-held Pocket Refractometer PAL-1. The cocoa pulp was separated from nib by scalpel and weighed using balance weight (Metler Toledo, PL 4002) before placed into a Falcom tube. Distilled water was added volume per volume (v/v) into the tube and vortexed. The amount of total soluble solids content of pulp was recorded in Brix unit. Triplicate samples were prepared.

2.2b Total polyphenols content of nibs

Total polyphenol content was determined by a modified method of Afoakwa et al., (2012). Meanwhile, standard curve was prepared using gallic acid according to the modified method of Singleton and Rossi (1965). Dried cocoa beans were removed from shells and grinded into a fine powder. Approximately 0.5 g of the powder was subjected to defat with 10 ml of hexane for 10 minutes and the procedure was repeated twice. Afterwards, the defatted powder was dried on Whatman filter paper (No. 4) in the fume hood. The defatted dry powder was subsequently, resuspended in 200 ml of methanolic HCl solution (80% MeOH containing 1% HCl) in a shaker for 2 hours at 25 °C and centrifuged (1000 rpm, 15 minutes). About 1.0 ml of the supernatant was taken as a sample. Meanwhile, ten standard solution of gallic acid with concentration of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 and 1.00 mg were prepared in an amount of 1.0 ml, respectively. Each of samples and standard solutions of gallic acid prepared, were subsequently mixed with 5.0 ml of 2N Folin reagent-Ciocalteau before added with 4.0 ml of sodium bicarbonate (Na₂CO₃), respectively. The mixtures were left for at least 2 hours in the dark before absorbance was measured at 760 nm. The experiment was repeated in triplicate and the mean value reported. Equation of Linear Regression was determined by Least Squares method using Microsoft Office

Excel. Total polyphenols content of sample was calculated using R^2 value and reported as milligram gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram defatted cocoa.

2.2c Fermentation index of nibs

Fermentation index (FI) was determined according to Khairul Bariah (2014) with slight modification. Wet fermented cocoa beans were used and its shells were removed to obtain nibs. The nibs were grinded into powder form using blender. About 0.5 grams of the cocoa powder was weighed by using a weight balance (Metler Toledo, PL 4002) and put into a 100 ml of volumetric flask. Then, a mixture of methanol (Hamburg Chemicals) and hydrochloric acid (Hamburg Chemicals) (97: 3) was added into the volumetric flask until reached the line of the flask. The mixture was vortexed a while and kept at 4°C overnight. Next, the sample was filtered by using Whatman filter paper No.4 and absorbance measured at wavelengths of 460 nm and 530 nm, respectively using UV-visible spectrophotometer (SHIDMAZU uv-2401). Triplicate samples were prepared. The FI was obtained by calculating the ratio between absorbance 460 nm and 530 nm of the cocoa beans.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The effect of starter culture on total soluble solids of pulps, total polyphenols content and fermentation index of nibs at every sampling time were determined. Data were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by mean comparison using Turkey test at $p < 0.05$ levels with SPSS version 20.

3. Results

3.1 Total soluble solids content of pulps

The total soluble solids (TSS) content of the cocoa pulp for all the 17 samples including control during simulation of cocoa fermentation were shown in Table 3.1. At the beginning, the TSS content of fresh beans used in this study varied from 1.73 ± 0.31 brix to 4.37 ± 0.45 brix. The TSS content of cocoa beans added with *H. opuntiae* was the highest (4.37 ± 0.45 brix) whereas cocoa beans added with *P. kluyveri* (1.73 ± 0.31 brix) or *H. thailandica* (1.83 ± 0.50 brix) had the lowest TSS content. Statistical analysis indicated that no significant difference except for samples added with *H. opuntiae*, *P. kluyveri* or *H. thailandica*. At the end of fermentation, the TSS content of cocoa pulps for all samples including control ranging between 0.63 to 3.80 brix. The highest TSS amount was from control while sample added with *W. anomalus* has the lowest. Statistical analysis also indicated that no significant effect at the end of fermentation (120 hours) except for control and cocoa beans added with *W. anomalus*.

During fermentation process, the total soluble solids contents for all the 17 samples including control were fluctuated during the whole fermentation period. In control

sample, the TSS of cocoa pulp was begun with increasing trend to 3.70 ± 0.00 brix after 24 hours of fermentation. Then after 48 hours of fermentation, the TSS content has decreased to 1.10 ± 0.00 brix before continue to increase until 3.80 ± 0.00 brix when fermentation ended at 120 hours. However, the TSS content of the cocoa pulp for all the 16 treatments was inconsistent with the control. Most of the treatments showed increasing of total soluble solids amount at the first 24 hours except for samples added with *C. jaroonii*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. xylopsoci*, *H. opuntiae* and *W. anomalus*. While, the TSS of cocoa pulp in samples added with *R. Mucilaginoso* remains unchanged. Afterwards, TSS cocoa pulp in most treatment experienced a decreased trend in between the fermentation (48 hours to 96 hours) and increased again when the fermentation ended at 120 hours. Moreover, the TSS content in three samples (added with *C. jaroonii*, *C. quarcitrusa*, and *C. xylopsoci*) were increased at 48 hours and another three (added with *C. tropicalis*, *G. candidum* and *R. Mucilaginoso*) at 72 hours of fermentation. While the TSS content of sample added with *P. kudriavzevii* was decreased when the fermentation terminated at 120 hours. In spite of that, the fluctuated trends of TSS content over the period of fermentation were similar in samples either added with *Hanseniaspora sp.* or *S. cerevisiae*.

3.2 Total polyphenols content of nibs

The total polyphenols content for all of the 17 samples including control at different fermentation periods was shown in Table 3.2. The total polyphenols content of the fresh cocoa beans used in this study was varied in between 0.24 ± 0.00 to 1.11 ± 0.25 mg GAE/g. The lowest content was in the control sample, while the highest was in the sample added with *S. Diversa*. During fermentation, the total polyphenols content was also varied where sample added with *S. cerevisiae* showed the highest (0.96 ± 0.31 mg GAE/g), followed by *C. xylopsoci* (1.36 ± 0.19 mg GAE/g), *P. kluyveri* (0.92 ± 0.16 mg GAE/g) and *P. kudriavzevii* (1.38 ± 0.27 mg GAE/g) while, the lowest total polyphenols content was in sample added with *Hanseniaspora sp.*, *H. opuntiae*, *H. thailandica* and *S. cerevisiae*, respectively after fermentation periods of 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours. Meanwhile, after 120 hours of fermentation the total polyphenols content ranged between 0.41 ± 0.05 mg GAE/g to 0.76 ± 0.09 mg GAE/g. Although the total polyphenols content was not significantly different among those added with starter cultures, the lowest total polyphenols content was in samples added with *C. quarcitrusa* and the highest was in samples added with *C. ethanolica*. Moreover, statistical analysis indicated that there were significant difference of the total polyphenols content of the fresh beans used for the treatment.

Table 3.1 Comparisons of total soluble solids content of pulps in cocoa simulation media treated with different starter cultures at different fermentation periods

Starter culture	Fermentation periods (hrs)					
	0	24	48	72	96	120
Control	3.30±0.00 ^{ab} _c	3.70±0.00 ^b	1.10±0.00 ^{ab}	1.80±0.00 ^{ab}	2.10±0.00 ^{ab} _c	3.80±0.00 ^c
<i>Saturnispora diversa</i>	2.10±0.56 ^{ab}	3.30±1.37 ^{ab}	1.83±0.35 ^{ab} _{cd}	2.03±0.85 ^{ab}	2.60±0.92 ^c	1.27±0.32 ^{ab}
<i>Candida ethanolica</i>	2.27±0.40 ^{ab}	3.37±0.95 ^{ab}	1.70±0.70 ^{ab} _{cd}	1.70±0.95 ^{ab}	1.47±0.25 ^{ab} _c	2.33±0.85 ^{ab} _c
<i>Candida jaroonii</i>	3.40±0.2 ^{abc}	3.30±0.20 ^{ab}	3.67±0.06 ^e	2.43±0.45 ^{ab}	1.33±0.55 ^{ab} _c	2.10±0.66 ^{ab} _c
<i>Candida quarcitrusa</i>	2.57±0.76 ^{ab}	2.83±0.95 ^{ab}	2.90±0.35 ^{bc} _{de}	1.73±0.29 ^{ab}	1.67±0.85 ^{ab} _c	1.93±0.31 ^{ab} _c
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	2.80±0.70 ^{ab} _c	2.60±0.87 ^{ab}	2.57±0.40 ^{ab} _{cde}	2.70±1.31 ^b	1.57±0.06 ^{ab} _c	2.93±1.76 ^{bc}
<i>Candida xylopsoci</i>	3.23±0.57 ^{ab} _c	3.10±1.45 ^{ab}	3.33±1.12 ^{de}	1.50±0.70 ^{ab}	1.47±0.76 ^{ab} _c	1.57±0.90 ^{ab}
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i>	2.23±0.32 ^{ab}	2.80±0.56 ^{ab}	1.90±0.80 ^{ab} _{cde}	2.17±1.01 ^{ab}	1.87±0.40 ^{ab} _c	2.17±0.68 ^{ab} _c
<i>Hanseniaspora opuntiae</i>	4.37±0.45 ^c	3.40±1.28 ^{ab}	0.80±0.50 ^a	0.87±0.31 ^{ab}	0.77±0.12 ^{ab}	0.77±0.15 ^{ab}
<i>Hanseniaspora species</i>	2.13±0.23 ^{ab}	2.57±0.55 ^{ab}	2.07±0.49 ^{ab} _{cde}	1.67±0.84 ^{ab}	1.87±0.74 ^{ab} _c	1.77±0.29 ^{ab} _c
<i>Hanseniaspora thailandica</i>	1.83±0.50 ^a	3.60±0.66 ^{ab}	2.17±0.64 ^{ab} _{cde}	2.07±0.15 ^{ab}	1.67±0.15 ^{ab} _c	1.80±0.20 ^{ab} _c
<i>Pichia kudriavzevii</i>	2.63±0.25 ^{ab} _c	2.70±0.98 ^{ab}	2.03±0.72 ^{ab} _{cde}	1.17±0.38 ^{ab}	0.97±0.06 ^{bc}	0.87±0.32 ^{ab}
<i>Pichia kluyveri</i>	1.73±0.31 ^a	2.63±0.25 ^{ab}	2.40±0.69 ^{ab} _{cde}	2.07±0.70 ^{ab}	1.57±0.21 ^{ab} _c	1.77±0.72 ^{ab} _c
<i>Wickerhamomyces onychis</i>	2.70±0.40 ^{ab} _c	3.47±0.55 ^{ab}	1.97±0.70 ^{ab} _{cde}	1.90±0.30 ^{ab}	1.67±0.55 ^{ab} _c	2.17±1.21 ^{ab} _c
<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i>	2.20±0.10 ^{ab}	2.20±0.66 ^{ab}	1.70±0.20 ^{ab} _{cd}	1.73±0.64 ^{ab}	1.37±0.60 ^{ab} _c	2.03±0.76 ^{ab} _c
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	2.63±1.0 ^{abc}	4.23±1.16 ^b	1.50±0.95 ^{ab} _c	0.60±0.17 ^a	2.30±0.66 ^{bc}	0.83±0.23 ^{ab}
<i>Wickerhamomyces anomalus</i>	3.70±1.23 ^{bc}	2.47±0.55 ^{ab}	1.00±0.78 ^a	0.77±0.25 ^{ab}	0.57±0.29 ^a	0.63±0.58 ^a

Mean ± standard deviation value followed by different alphabets in the same column were significantly different at p<0.05

The total polyphenols content in the control was increased to 0.46±0.00 mg GAE/g after 24 hours of fermentation, but the content was decreased after the fermentation progressed to period of 48 and 72 hours.

Table 3.2 Comparisons of total polyphenols content (mg GAE/g) in cocoa simulation media treated with starter cultures at different fermentation periods

Starter culture	Fermentation periods (hrs)					
	0	24	48	72	96	120
Control	0.24±0.00 ^a	0.46±0.00 ^{ab}	0.41±0.00 ^a	0.33±0.00 ^a	0.45±0.00 ^{ab}	0.60±0.00 ^a
<i>Saturnispora diversa</i>	1.11±0.25 ^c	0.66±0.27 ^{ab}	0.85±0.09 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.77±0.37 ^{ab} _c	1.09±0.14 ^{cd} _e	0.74±0.14 ^a
<i>Candida ethanolica</i>	0.72±0.16 ^{ab} _c	0.40±0.13 ^a	0.92±0.33 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.61±0.17 ^{ab} _c	0.51±0.32 ^{ab} _c	0.76±0.09 ^a
<i>Candida jaroonii</i>	0.69±0.16 ^{ab} _c	0.37±0.21 ^a	1.27±0.18 ^{de}	0.90±0.35 ^c	0.75±0.08 ^{ab} _{cd}	0.63±0.26 ^a
<i>Candida quarcitrusa</i>	0.62±0.11 ^{ab} _c	0.40±0.04 ^a	1.26±0.08 ^{de}	0.63±0.05 ^{ab} _c	0.71±0.07 ^{ab} _{cd}	0.41±0.05 ^a
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	1.02±0.14 ^c	0.49±0.27 ^{ab}	0.98±0.12 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.64±0.03 ^{ab} _c	0.56±0.15 ^{ab} _{cd}	0.56±0.05 ^a
<i>Candida xylopsoci</i>	0.55±0.10 ^{ab} _c	0.43±0.05 ^a	1.36±0.19 ^e	0.86±0.19 ^{bc}	0.91±0.30 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.70±0.04 ^a
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i>	0.79±0.05 ^{ab} _c	0.38±0.14 ^a	0.95±0.27 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.54±0.09 ^{ab} _c	0.57±0.06 ^{ab} _{cd}	0.61±0.13 ^a
<i>Hanseniaspora opuntiae</i>	0.68±0.11 ^{ab} _c	0.44±0.09 ^a	0.48±0.10 ^{ab}	0.52±0.07 ^{ab} _c	0.61±0.07 ^{ab} _{cd}	0.47±0.17 ^a
<i>Hanseniaspora species</i>	0.54±0.19 ^{ab} _c	0.34±0.09 ^a	1.06±0.15 ^{bc} _{de}	0.63±0.00 ^{ab} _c	1.17±0.24 ^{de}	0.75±0.02 ^a
<i>Hanseniaspora thailandica</i>	0.59±0.59 ^{ab} _c	0.34±0.08 ^a	0.90±0.42 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.75±0.05 ^{ab} _c	0.79±0.39 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.64±0.03 ^a
<i>Pichia kudriavzevii</i>	0.55±0.20 ^{ab} _c	0.51±0.11 ^{ab}	0.69±0.07 ^{ab} _{cd}	0.46±0.15 ^{ab} _c	1.38±0.27 ^e	0.48±0.10 ^a
<i>Pichia kluyveri</i>	0.76±0.07 ^{ab} _c	0.52±0.12 ^{ab}	0.75±0.18 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.92±0.16 ^c	1.15±0.38 ^{de}	0.66±0.08 ^a
<i>Wickerhamomyces onychis</i>	0.89±0.28 ^{ab} _c	0.44±0.24 ^a	0.98±0.29 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.78±0.15 ^{ab} _c	1.00±0.21 ^{bc} _{de}	0.65±0.17 ^a
<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i>	0.87±0.20 ^{ab} _c	0.59±0.18 ^{ab}	0.99±0.24 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.58±0.16 ^{ab} _c	0.99±0.03 ^{ab} _{cde}	0.70±0.17 ^a
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	0.33±0.39 ^{ab}	0.96±0.31 ^b	1.12±0.28 ^{bc} _{de}	0.42±0.36 ^{ab} _c	0.38±0.08 ^a	0.68±0.16 ^a
<i>Wickerhamomyces anomalus</i>	0.83±0.10 ^{ab} _c	0.52±0.09 ^{ab}	0.50±0.03 ^{ab} _c	0.34±0.04 ^{ab}	0.61±0.11 ^{ab} _{cd}	0.67±0.11 ^a

Mean value ± standard deviation followed by different alphabets in the same column were significantly different at p<0.05

Afterwards, the total polyphenol content continues to increase until the fermentation is terminated at 120 hours.

However, the total polyphenols content in the samples fluctuated over the fermentation periods where, most of the treatments showed decreasing of total polyphenols content at the initial period of fermentation but increased in between the fermentation (24 hours to 96 hours) before it reduced again at the end of the fermentation. The total polyphenol content was increased in samples added with *S. cerevisiae* after 24 hours and *H. opuntiae* or *P. kluyveri* after 72 hours of fermentation, respectively. The total polyphenols content in the following four samples added *C. ethanolica*, *G. candidum*, *S. cerevisiae* and *W. anomalus* were also increased at the end of the fermentation, while total polyphenols content in sample added with *C. tropicalis* remained unchanged.

3.3 Fermentation index of nibs

The values of fermentation index at different fermentation periods for all 17 samples including control were shown in Table 3.3. Initially, the value of fermentation index for all the 17 samples including control were ranged between 0.42 ± 0.05 to 1.31 ± 0.73 . The lowest values of fermentation index was from samples added with *C. tropicalis*, while samples added with *S. cerevisiae* was the highest. It was also observed that the value of fermentation index from samples added with *H. thailandica* or *S. cerevisiae* was already attained more 1.00 even though at the start of fermentation process. However, based on statistical analysis, there were no significant differences of the fermentation index for all the samples although the few samples including control have quite high value of fermentation index at 0 hours of fermentation.

4. Discussions and Conclusions

Based on the total soluble solids content of pulps recorded after 120 hours, control had the highest total soluble solids content increment compared to media added with *C. tropicalis*, *P. kluyveri*, *C. ethanolica* or combination of *H. opuntiae* and *S. cerevisiae*. The total soluble solids content of pulps in cocoa simulation media added with other yeast starter cultures decreased after 120 hours. This study indicated that cocoa fermentation applied with yeast starter culture was more effective in metabolizing total soluble solids content of pulps compared to control. Yeasts would cause alcoholic fermentation where they metabolized citric acid and converted sugar content to produce by products such as alcohol and carbon dioxide (Roelofsen & Geisburger, 1947). Subsequently, some strains of yeasts were capable of producing pectinolytic enzymes which would break down the mucilage pulp and ran off as cocoa sweating (Roelofsen & Geisburger, 1947).

Table 3.3 Comparisons of fermentation index of cocoa simulation media using different yeast starter cultures at different fermentation periods

Starter culture	Fermentation periods (hrs)					
	0	24	48	72	96	120
Control	0.94± 0.00 ^a	0.62± 0.00 ^a	1.02± 0.00 ^b	0.99± 0.00 ^a	1.05± 0.00 ^a	0.89± 0.00 ^{ab}
<i>Saturnispora diversa</i>	0.63± 0.25 ^a	0.53± 0.01 ^a	0.84± 0.13 ^{ab}	0.71± 0.05 ^a	0.85± 0.05 ^a	0.73± 0.10 ^{ab}
<i>Candida ethanolica</i>	0.55± 0.12 ^a	0.78± 0.11 ^a	0.85± 0.35 ^{ab}	0.78± 0.19 ^a	0.78± 0.12 ^a	1.03± 0.36 ^{ab}
<i>Candida jaroonii</i>	0.52± 0.09 ^a	1.02± 0.84 ^a	0.54± 0.01 ^{ab}	0.58± 0.11 ^a	0.62± 0.17 ^a	0.75± 0.26 ^{ab}
<i>Candida quarcitrusa</i>	0.56± 0.10 ^a	0.56± 0.03 ^{ab}	0.50± 0.01 ^{ab}	0.58± 0.09 ^a	0.59± 0.12 ^a	0.87± 0.26 ^{ab}
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	0.42± 0.05 ^a	0.76± 0.26 ^a	0.81± 0.15 ^{ab}	0.79± 0.09 ^a	0.94± 0.08 ^a	0.79± 0.11 ^{ab}
<i>Candida xylopsoci</i>	0.55± 0.04 ^a	0.70± 0.15 ^a	0.71± 0.14 ^{ab}	0.63± 0.11 ^a	0.63± 0.24 ^a	0.76± 0.07 ^{ab}
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i>	0.59± 0.09 ^a	0.74± 0.09 ^a	0.67± 0.16 ^{ab}	0.76± 0.18 ^a	0.57± 0.05 ^a	0.78± 0.07 ^{ab}
<i>Hanseniaspora opuntiae</i>	0.75± 0.06 ^a	0.71± 0.15 ^a	0.71± 0.08 ^{ab}	0.82± 0.36 ^a	0.77± 0.08 ^a	0.80± 0.08 ^{ab}
<i>Hanseniaspora species</i>	0.69± 0.09 ^a	1.06± 0.19 ^a	0.67± 0.07 ^{ab}	0.67± 0.03 ^a	0.67± 0.19 ^a	0.55± 0.05 ^a
<i>Hanseniaspora thailandica</i>	1.11± 0.86 ^a	0.75± 0.18 ^a	1.09± 0.60 ^b	0.58± 0.06 ^a	0.80± 0.22 ^a	0.68± 0.04 ^{ab}
<i>Pichia kluyveri</i>	0.90± 0.41 ^a	0.90± 0.08 ^a	0.87± 0.13 ^{ab}	0.90± 0.41 ^a	1.11± 0.41 ^a	0.93± 0.17 ^{ab}
<i>Pichia kudriavzevii</i>	0.81± 0.27 ^a	0.88± 0.17 ^a	0.68± 0.27 ^{ab}	0.68± 0.08 ^a	0.81± 0.15 ^a	1.11± 0.27 ^b
<i>Wickerhamomyce s onychis</i>	0.59± 0.21 ^a	0.95± 0.35 ^a	0.67± 0.07 ^{ab}	0.81± 0.13 ^a	0.88± 0.10 ^a	0.92± 0.12 ^{ab}
<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i>	0.76± 0.22 ^a	0.87± 0.34 ^a	0.62± 0.08 ^{ab}	0.71± 0.18 ^a	0.89± 0.51 ^a	1.01± 0.36 ^{ab}
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	1.31± 0.73 ^a	0.70± 0.11 ^a	0.67± 0.18 ^{ab}	0.65± 0.16 ^a	1.04± 0.22 ^a	0.83± 0.08 ^{ab}
<i>Wickerhamomyce s anomalus</i>	0.69± 0.06 ^a	0.86± 0.09 ^a	0.78± 0.19 ^{ab}	0.79± 0.10 ^a	0.73± 0.06 ^a	0.91± 0.12 ^{ab}

Mean value ± standard deviation followed by different alphabets in the same column were significantly different at $p < 0.05$

The inconsistent of total polyphenols content of fermenting cocoa beans in cocoa simulation media might due to several factors. One of the major factors for the reduction of total polyphenols content was lost of some chemical compounds such as (-)-epicatechin and (+)-catechin during fermentation but the lost of (+)-catechin was compensated with the formation of (-)-catechin (Hurst *et al.*, 2011). Hurst *et al.*, (2011) reported that fermentation heat was responsible for the formation of the enantiomer (-)-catechin. They also reported that the level of epicatechin which was 30 times greater compared to catechin in wet and dried cocoa beans while the unripe and ripe cocoa beans contained no detectable amounts of (-)-catechin (Hurst *et al.*, 2011). Polyphenols in cocoa beans is oxidized by polyphenols oxidase which could be contributing to the changes of cocoa cotyledon from purple into brown colour during the fermentation process (Forsyth & Quesnel, 1957).

There are numerous internal and external factors affecting the quantity of polyphenolic compounds in plants such as genetic (varietal and regional) diversity and environmental factors such as growing condition (light intensity, humidity, temperature, the use of fertilizers, wounding, infections and other stress factors) (Bruna *et al.*, 2009). The polyphenols content of cocoa relied on cocoa bean variety. Fine varieties that are grown in Madagascar, Trinidad and Venezuela are proved to contained more procyanidins and the bean required shorter period of fermentation processes (Bruna *et al.*, 2009).

The usage of different cultivars could also influence the inconsistency of total polyphenols content as different cultivars had variation of phenolics compounds and tannins which could later influence the chocolate flavour (Cruz *et al.*, 2013). Thus, the fluctuations of total polyphenols content recorded in this study may be closely related to the genetic traits of each clones used where mix cocoa clones were used in this present study. Bruna *et al.* (2009) reported that the contents of pectin and polyphenolic substances content in cocoa beans are determined by genetic traits of each specific cocoa clones. Thus, the variety of cocoa clones used for cocoa fermentation may be influencing the total polyphenols content of cocoa beans.

The inconsistent of fermentation index of fermenting cocoa beans was because cacao fermentation process was a spontaneous process. In this experiment, beans of mixed cocoa clones were used for the fermentation. The ratio of cultivars involved was uncertain and not being taking into account because it was not a standard practice in Malaysian Cocoa Board. According to Cruz *et al.*, (2013) different cultivars showed different characteristics with regards to pH, acidity, temperature and different reduction in tannins and total phenolics content. The different reduction in tannins and total phenolics content could affect the fermentation index as it was closely associated with the browning process (Lopez, 1986). It was recommended that fermentation should be carried out separately for each cultivar in order to achieve uniform and high quality of cocoa beans.

Based on the correlation study of using identified yeast species to determine their effects on total soluble solids of pulps, total polyphenols content and fermentation index of nibs in cacao simulation media, result showed that *C. ethanolica* (KT175192) and *C. tropicalis* (KT175184) had strong correlations with fermentation index of nibs in cacao simulation media. *C. jarrowii* (KT175172), *C. quercitrusa* (KT175173), *C. xylopsoci* (KT175174), *H. opuntiae* (KT175183), *Hanseniaspora* species (KT175196), *P. kudriavzevii* (KT175182) and *W. anomalus* (KT175180) showed strong correlations with total soluble solids of pulps in the tested cacao simulation media. Cacao simulation media test is considered useful as a method to predict yeast

performance during cacao bean fermentation prior to application in the field which involved using a large quantity of cocoa pods. The wastage of pods can be minimized by using this simulation method.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by University of Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS) and Cocoa Research and Development Centre (Hilir Perak), Malaysian Cocoa Board (MCB) Hilir Perak, Perak. The help of MCB Samarahan, Sarawak is highly appreciated.

References

- [1] Afoakwa, E. O., & Paterson, A. (2010). Cocoa Fermentation: Chocolate Flavour Quality. *Encyclopedia of Biotechnology in Agriculture and Food* (pp. 457-468). Oxford, U.K: Taylor & Francis Publishing Inc.
- [2] Afoakwa, E. O., Quao, J., Takrama, F. S., Budu, A. S., & Saalia, F. K. (2012). Changes in total polyphenols, o-diphenols and anthocyanin concentrations during fermentation of pulp pre-conditioned cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) beans. *International Food Research Journal*, 19(4), 1071-1077.
- [3] Bariah, K. S. (2006). *Determination of Fermentation Index and pH*. Kertas Kerja VII, Kursus Penggredan Biji Koko Kering (Lanjutan). Tawau, Sabah, Malaysia.
- [4] Biehl, B., Meyer, B., Crone, G., Pollmann, L., & Said, M. B. (1989). Chemical and physical changes in the pulp during ripening and post-harvest storage of cocoa pods. *Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture*, 48, 189-208.
- [5] Bruna, C., Eichholz, I., Rohn, S., Kroh, L. W., & Huyskens-Kell, S. (2009). Bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of cocoa hulls (*Theobroma cacao* L.) from different origins. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality*, 83, 9-13.
- [6] Camu, N., Winter, T. D., Addo, S. K., Takrama, J. S., Bernaert, H., & Vuyst, L. D. (2008). Fermentation of cocoa beans: Influence of microbial activities and polyphenol concentrations on the flavor of chocolate. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 88, 2288-2297.
- [7] Caridi, A., Cufari, A., Lovino, R., Palumbo, R., & Tedesco, I. (2004). Influence of yeast on polyphenol composition of wine. *Food Technology, Biotechnology*, 42(1), 37-40.
- [8] Cruz, J. F. M., Leite, P. B., Soares, S. E., & Bispo, E. D. S. (2013). Assessment of the fermentative process from different cocoa cultivars produced in Southern Bahia, Brazil. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 12(33), 5218-5225.
- [9] Forsyth, W. G. C., & Quesnel, V. C. (1957). Cacao

- glycosidase and colour changes during fermentation. *Journal Science Food Agriculture*, 8, 505-509.
- [10] Gertner, J. (October, 2004). Eat chocolate, live longer? *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9407E1DC1E38F933A25753C1A9629C8B63>
- [11] Gourieva, K.B., & Tserrevitinov, O.B. (1979). Method of evaluating the degree of fermentation of cocoa beans. *USSR Patent no. 646254*.
- [12] Graham, F., & Hugh, D. (2007). Yeast, cocoa beans and chocolate. *Microbiology Australia, Official Journal of the Australian Society for Microbiology Inc*, 28(2), 49-51.
- [13] Hurst, W. J., Krake, S. H., Bergmeier, S. C., Payne, M. J., Miller, K. B., & Stuart, D. A. (2011). Impact of fermentation, drying, roasting and Dutch processing on flavon-3-ol stereochemistry in cacao beans and cocoa ingredients. *Chemistry Central Journal*, 5(53), 1-8.
- [14] Kyi, T. M., Wan Ramli, W. D., Abu, B. M., Mohd, W. S., Abdul Amir, H. K., & Meor Zainal, M. T. (2005). The kinetics of polyphenol degradation during the drying of Malaysian cocoa beans. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 40, 323-331.
- [15] Lehrian, D. W., & Patterson, G. R. (1983). Cocoa fermentation. In Reed. G. (Ed.), *Food and Feed Production with Microorganisms. Biotechnology*, 5th volume (pp. 529-575). Verlag Chemie, Weinheim.
- [16] Lopez, A. S. (1986). Chemical changes occurring during the processing of cacao. In Dimick. P. S. (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Symposium* (pp. 19-53). Pennsylvania, PA: Pennsylvania State University.
- [17] Osman, H., Nazaruddin, R., & Lee, S. L. (2004). Extracts of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) leaves and their antioxidation potential. *Food Chemistry*, 86, 41-45.
- [18] Pereira, G. V. M., Miguel, M. G. C. P., Ramos, C. L., & Schwan, R. (2012). Microbiological and physicochemical characterization of small-scale cocoa fermentations and screening of yeast and bacteria strains to develop a defined starter culture. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78, 5395-5405.
- [19] Rodriguez-Campos, J., Escalona-Buendia, H. B., Orozco-Avila, I., Lugo-Cervantes, E., & Jaramillo-Flores, M. E. (2011). Dynamics of volatile and non-volatile compounds in cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) during fermentation and drying processes using principal components analysis. *Food Research International*, 44, 250-258.
- [20] Roelofsen, P. A., & Giesberger, H. (1947). Onderzoekingen over cacao bereiding. *Archief voor de Koffiecultuur in Nerderlandsch-Indie*, 16(1), 119- 159.
- [21] Rusconi, M., & Conti, A. (2009). *Theobroma cacao* L., the Food of the Gods: A scientific approach beyond myths and claims. *Alpine Foundation for Life Sciences (AFLS)*, 6718, Olivone, Switzerland.
- [22] Schwan, R. F. (1998). Cocoa fermentation conducted with a defined microbial cocktail inoculum. *American Society for Microbiology*, 64 (4), 1477-1483.
- [23] Schwan, R. F., & Wheals, A. E. (2004). The microbiology of cocoa fermentation and its role in chocolate quality. *Critical Review in Food Science and Nutrition*, 44, 205-221.
- [24] Singh, Rameshwar., Choudhary, L. C., Kamra, D. N., & Pathak, N. N. (1997). Effect of Dietary Supplementation with Yeast Cell Suspension (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on Nutrient Utilisation and Growth Response in Crossbred Calves. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 11(3), 268-271.
- [25] Suzana, A., & Emad, A. (2013). Polyphenol-Rich Cocoa and Chocolate: Potential Role in the Prevention of Diabetes. In Ronald. R. W. Victor. R. P. & Sherma. Z. (Eds.), *Chocolate in Health and Nutrition*, (327-336). London: Humana Press.
- [26] Wollgast, J., & Anklam, E. (2000). Review on polyphenols in *Theobroma cacao*: Changes in composition during the manufacture of chocolate and methodology for identification and quantification. *Food Research International*, 33, 423-447.