

Precise Estimates for The Solution of Stochastic Functional Differential Equations With Discontinuous Initial Data(Part 2)

Tagelsir A Ahmed
Department of Pure Mathematics,
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Khartoum, Sudan
E-mail tagelsir6@gmail.com

van Casteren, J.A.
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Antwerp (UA), Middelheimlaan 1,
2020 Antwerp, Belgium

August 11, 2014

Abstract

This work is a continuation to the work on Precise Estimates in [3] and the work on Approximation Theorems in [2]. Here we have proved that the Euler approximation of the S.F.D.E. considered in [2] and [3] is in fact numerically stable and weakly consistent. Note that here we have used the same introduction, notations and definitions as in [2] and [3].

0.1 Numerical stability

Here we shall define what is meant by L^2 -numerical stability and we shall show that the Euler approximation X^π of the solution x of the S.F.D.E. (1.11) in [3] is L^2 -numerically stable.

1 Definition. Let X^π be the Euler approximation of the solution x of the S.F.D.E (1.11) in [3] with $X^\pi(0) = x(0) = V$ and $X^\pi(s) = x(s) = \theta(s) \quad \forall s \in [-1, 0)$. Also

let \bar{x} be the unique solution of the S.F.D.E. (1.11) in [3] obtained by replacing (V, θ) by $(\bar{V}, \bar{\theta})$ where $\bar{V} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; \mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\bar{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}^2(J \times \Omega, \mathcal{H}(J) \otimes \mathcal{F}_0, \lambda \otimes P; \mathbf{R}^n)$. Let \bar{X} be the Euler approximation of the solution \bar{x} with $\bar{X}(0) = \bar{x}(0) = \bar{V}$ and $\bar{X}(s) = \bar{x}(s) = \bar{\theta}(s) \quad \forall s \in [-1, 0)$. Then we say that the approximation $X^\pi(t)$ is \mathbf{L}^2 -numerically stable if $\mathbf{E} \{ \|(V, \theta) - (\bar{V}, \bar{\theta})\|^2 \} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ implies $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} \mathbf{E} \{ \|(X^\pi(t), X_t^\pi) - (\bar{X}(t), \bar{X}_t)\|^2 \} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

2 Proposition. *Using the same notation and settings in the above definition the Euler approximation $X^\pi(t)$ is \mathbf{L}^2 -numerically stable.*

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \{ |X^\pi(t) - \bar{X}(t)|^2 \} \\ & \leq 3\mathbf{E} \{ |X^\pi(t) - x(t)|^2 \} + 3\mathbf{E} \{ |x(t) - \bar{x}(t)|^2 \} + 3\mathbf{E} \{ |\bar{X}(t) - \bar{x}(t)|^2 \} \end{aligned} \quad (0.1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E} \{ \|X_t^\pi - \bar{X}_t\|^2 \} \leq 3\mathbf{E} \{ \|X_t^\pi - x_t\|^2 \} + 3\mathbf{E} \{ \|x_t - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \} + 3\mathbf{E} \{ \|\bar{X}_t - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \} \quad (0.2)$$

Now by combining (0.1) and (0.2) and using ([?]Theorem (2.1) and inequality (1.35)) we get for each $t \in [0, a]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \{ \|(X^\pi(t), X_t^\pi) - (\bar{X}(t), \bar{X}_t)\|^2 \} \\ & \leq 3\mathbf{E} \{ \|(X^\pi(t), X_t^\pi) - (x(t), x_t)\|^2 \} + 3\mathbf{E} \{ \|(x(t), x_t) - (\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_t)\|^2 \} \\ & \quad + 3\mathbf{E} \{ \|\bar{X}(t), \bar{X}_t) - (\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_t)\|^2 \} \\ & \leq K_7\delta + K_8\mathbf{E} \{ \|(V, \theta) - (\bar{V}, \bar{\theta})\|^2 \} + K_9\delta, \end{aligned} \quad (0.3)$$

where K_7, K_8 and K_9 are constants independent of δ .

Now since (0.3) holds $\forall t \in [0, a]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} \mathbf{E} \{ \|(X^\pi(t), X_t^\pi) - (\bar{X}(t), \bar{X}_t)\|^2 \} \\ & \leq (K_7 + K_9)\delta + K_8\mathbf{E} \{ \|(V, \theta) - (\bar{V}, \bar{\theta})\|^2 \}. \end{aligned} \quad (0.4)$$

Now suppose that $\mathbf{E} \{ \|(V, \theta) - (\bar{V}, \bar{\theta})\|^2 \} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, then by (0.4) it is easy to see that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} \mathbf{E} \{ \|(X^\pi(t), X_t^\pi) - (\bar{X}(t), \bar{X}_t)\|^2 \} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Hence the Euler approximation $X^\pi(t)$ is \mathbf{L}^2 -numerically stable. \square

0.2 Weak Consistency

We say that the Euler approximation X^π of the solution of the S.F.D.E (1.11) in [?]with maximum step size δ is weakly consistent if there exist a nonnegative function $C(\delta)$ with

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} C(\delta) = 0 \quad (0.5)$$

such that:

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ \left| \mathbf{E} \left(\frac{X^\pi(t_{k+1}) - X^\pi(t_k)}{\Delta_k} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right) - f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \right|^2 \right\} \leq C(\delta) \quad (0.6)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ \left| \mathbf{E} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_k} (X^\pi(t_{k+1}) - X^\pi(t_k)) (X^\pi(t_{k+1}) - X^\pi(t_k))^T \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right) - g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi)^T \right|^2 \right\} \leq C(\delta) \quad (0.7)$$

for all fixed values of $X^\pi(t_k)$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

3 Proposition. *The Euler approximation X^π of the solution process of the S.F.D.E. (1.11) in [?] is weakly consistent. In other words X^π satisfies (0.5), (0.6) and (0.7).*

To prove Proposition 3 we need the following lemma which we have established by suitable modifications of Theorem 4.5.4 in [7]

4 Lemma. *If X^π is the Euler approximation of the solution of the S.F.D.E. (1.11) in [?], then*

$$\sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \mathbf{E} \{ \| (X^\pi(u), X_u^\pi) \|^{2p} \} \leq C_1 (\|V\|^{2p} + \|\theta\|^{2p} + 1) e^{C_1 t}, \quad (0.8)$$

where p is any positive integer and C_1 is a constant depending only on K , a and p and not on δ .

Proof. Consider the S.F.D.E.

$$\begin{aligned} X^\pi(t) &= V + \int_0^t f^\pi(u) du + \int_0^t g^\pi(u) dW(u) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq a \\ X^\pi(t) &= \theta(t) \quad \text{if } -1 \leq t < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (0.9)$$

where for each $u \in [0, a]$,

$$f^\pi(u) = f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) = f_k(u), \quad \text{and} \quad g^\pi(u) = g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) = g_k(u)$$

for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $1 \leq k \leq m$, and $t_k < u \leq t_{k+1}$. Equivalently the above S.F.D.E. can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} dX^\pi(t) &= f^\pi(t) dt + g^\pi(t) dW(t) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq a \\ X^\pi(t) &= \theta(t) \quad \text{if } -1 \leq t < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (0.10)$$

Now let $t \in [0, a]$, then by Itô formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |X^\pi(t)|^{2p} &= |V|^{2p} + \int_0^t 2p|X^\pi|^{2p-2} X^\pi(s) f^\pi(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t p(2p-1) |X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} (g^\pi(s))^2 ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t 2p|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} X^\pi(s) g^\pi(s) dW(s). \tag{0.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now since $\psi(s) = 2p|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} X^\pi(s) g^\pi(s) \in \mathbf{L}_\omega^2[0, a]$ for all $s \in [0, a]$, then by properties of the Ito integral we have $\mathbf{E} \int_0^t \psi(s) dW(s) = 0$ for each $t \in [0, a]$. Now by taking the expectation on both sides of (0.11) and using the definition of f^π and g^π and the linear growth condition on f and g and the continuity of $X^\pi(s)$ and X_s^π for all $s \in [0, a]$ and the inequality $b^{(2p-2)}(b^2 + 1) \leq 1 + 2b^{2p}$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbf{E}|X^\pi(t)|^{2p} \\
 &= \|V\|^{2p} + \mathbf{E} \int_0^t 2p|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} X^\pi(s) f^\pi(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \mathbf{E} \int_0^t p(2p-1) |X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} (g^\pi(s))^2 ds \\
 &\leq \|V\|^{2p} + \int_0^t 2p \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} |X^\pi(s)| K (|X^\pi(s)| + \|X_s^\pi\| + 1) du \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t 3p(2p-1) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} K^2 (|X^\pi(s)|^2 + \|X_s^\pi\|^2 + 1) du \\
 &\leq \|V\|^{2p} + \int_0^t 2p \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} K (|X^\pi(s)|^2 + \|X_s^\pi\|^2 + 1)^2 du \\
 &\quad + 3 \int_0^t p(2p-1) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{(2p-2)} K^2 (|X^\pi(s)|^2 + \|X_s^\pi\|^2 + 1) du \\
 &\leq \|V\|^{2p} + 3p(2p+1)(K+K^2) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} (|X^\pi(s)|^2 + \|X_s^\pi\|^2 + 1) du \\
 &\leq \|V\|^{2p} + 6p(2p+1)(K+K^2) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} (\mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} + 1) du \\
 &\quad + 3p(2p+1)(K+K^2) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} \|X_s^\pi\|^2 du. \tag{0.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall show in steps that inequality (0.12) is also true if we replace the left hand side by $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p}$ which is equal to $\mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s_0)|^{2p}$ for some $s_0 \in [0, a]$. In other words we want to prove the following inequality

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} \leq \|V\|^{2p} + 6p(2p+1)(K+K^2) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}(|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} + 1) du$$

$$+ 3p(2p + 1)(K + K^2) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} \|X_s^\pi\|^2 du. \quad (0.13)$$

Step 1: we know that inequality (0.12) is true with t replaced by s_0 in both sides of the inequality.

Step 2: Now step 1 is also true if we replace s_0 in the right hand side by t and leave s_0 in the left hand side as it is .

Step 3: Now replace $\mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s_0)|^{2p}$ by $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p}$ to get the required inequality namely (0.13).

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} \|X_s^\pi\|^2 du \\ & \leq \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} \int_{-1}^s |X^\pi(r)|^2 dr du \\ & \leq \int_0^t \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, u]} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} |X^\pi(r)|^2 dr du + \mathbf{E} \int_{-1}^0 \int_{-1}^0 |X^\pi(s)|^{2p-2} |X^\pi(r)|^2 dr du \\ & \leq \int_0^t \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [0, u]} \mathbf{E} (|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} + |X^\pi(r)|^{2p}) dr du + 2\mathbf{E} \int_{-1}^0 \int_{-1}^0 |X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du dr \\ & \leq 2\|\theta\|^{2p} + 2 \int_0^t \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} dr du \\ & \leq 2\|\theta\|^{2p} + 2t \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du. \end{aligned} \quad (0.14)$$

Now by combining inequalities (0.13) and (0.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} & \leq \|V\|^{2p} + 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} (\mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} + 1) du \\ & \quad + 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2)\|\theta\|^{2p} \\ & \quad + 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2)t \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du \\ & \leq 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2) (\|V\|^{2p} + \|\theta\|^{2p} + 1) \\ & \quad + 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2)t \\ & \quad + 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2)(t + 1) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du \\ & \leq 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2)(t + 1) (\|V\|^{2p} + \|\theta\|^{2p} + 1) \\ & \quad + 6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2)(t + 1) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du. \end{aligned} \quad (0.15)$$

We also have for each $t \in [0, a]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\|X_t^\pi\|^{2p} &\leq \|\theta\|^{2p} + \int_0^t \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(r)|^{2p} dr \\ &\leq \|\theta\|^{2p} + \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du \end{aligned} \quad (0.16)$$

Now by using the same steps used to get (0.13) we find that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E}\|X_s^\pi\|^{2p} \leq \|\theta\|^{2p} + \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du. \quad (0.17)$$

By combining inequalities (0.15) and (0.17) and using the inequality $(b + c)^{2p} \leq 2^{(2p-1)}(b^{2p} + c^{2p}) \leq 2^{(2p-1)}(b + c)^{2p}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E} \|(X^\pi(s), X_s^\pi)\|^{2p} \\ &\leq 2^{2p-1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E} (|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} + \|X_s^\pi\|^{2p}) \\ &\leq \beta(t) (\|V\|^{2p} + \|\theta\|^{2p} + 1) + \beta(t) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E}|X^\pi(s)|^{2p} du \\ &\leq \beta(t) (\|V\|^{2p} + \|\theta\|^{2p} + 1) + \beta(t) \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} \mathbf{E} \|(X^\pi(s), X_s^\pi)\|^{2p} du, \end{aligned} \quad (0.18)$$

where $\beta(t) = [6p(2p + 1)(K + K^2)(t + 1) + 1] 2^{2p-1}$. Now applying Grönwall's inequality to (0.18), using $\beta(a)$ instead of $\beta(t)$ we get, for each $t \in [0, a]$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E} \|(X^\pi(s), X_s^\pi)\|^{2p} \leq C_1 (\|V\|^{2p} + \|\theta\|^{2p} + 1) e^{C_1 t}, \quad (0.19)$$

where $C_1 = \beta(a)$ is a constant independent of δ . Thus we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq a} \mathbf{E} \|(X^\pi(s), X_s^\pi)\|^{2p} \leq C (\|V\|^{2p} + \|\theta\|^{2p} + 1) \quad (0.20)$$

where $C = \beta(a)e^{a\beta(a)}$ is a constant depending only on K, p and a . □

Proof of Proposition 3. Now by using estimate (0.20) and the definition of X^π and the properties of the conditional expectation we shall prove that X^π satisfies (0.6).

For each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ we have, with $\Delta_k W = W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E} \left\{ \left| \mathbf{E} \left(\frac{X^\pi(t_{k+1}) - X^\pi(t_k)}{\Delta_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right) - f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \right|^2 \right\} \\
 &= \mathbf{E} \left(\left| \mathbf{E} \left(\frac{f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k - g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k W}{\Delta_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \right|^2 \right) \\
 &= \mathbf{E} \left(\left| \mathbf{E} g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \frac{(W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))}{\Delta_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right|^2 \right) \\
 &= \mathbf{E} \left(\left| g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \mathbf{E} \frac{(W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))}{\Delta_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right|^2 \right) \\
 &= 0 = c_1(\delta) \quad \text{say.} \tag{0.21}
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, to get the last step of inequality (0.21) we have used the fact that $\mathbf{E} \{ (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \} = 0$ and $\mathbf{E} |g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi)|^2$ is bounded by a constant because of (0.20). Thus X^π satisfies inequality (0.6).

Next we shall prove that X^π satisfies inequality (0.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E} \left\{ \left| \mathbf{E} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_k} (X^\pi(t_{k+1}) - X^\pi(t_k)) (X^\pi(t_{k+1}) - X^\pi(t_k))^T \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi)^T \right|^2 \right\} \\
 &= \mathbf{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \mathbf{E} \left(\{ f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k + g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k W \}^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - g^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \right|^2 \right\} \\
 &= \mathbf{E} \left\{ |f^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + g^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \mathbf{E} (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) g(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \mathbf{E} (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - g^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \right|^2 \right\} \\
 &= \mathbf{E} \left\{ |f^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + g^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \mathbf{E} (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - g^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \right|^2 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathbf{E} \left\{ |f^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + g^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \mathbf{E} (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} - 1 \right\} \right\}^2 \\
 &= \mathbf{E} \left\{ |f^2(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi) \Delta_k|^2 \right\} \quad (\text{as } \mathbf{E} [(W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k}] = \Delta_k) \\
 &\leq \mathbf{E} |f(t_k, X^\pi(t_k), X_{t_k}^\pi)|^4 \Delta_k^2 \\
 &\leq \mathbf{E} \{ K (|X^\pi(t_k)| + \|X_{t_k}^\pi\| + 1) \}^4 \delta^2 \quad (\text{by the linear growth condition on } f) \\
 &\leq 2^6 K^4 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_k} \mathbf{E} \|X^\pi(s), X_s^\pi\|^4 + 1 \right) \delta^2 \\
 &\leq 2^7 K^4 C (\|V\|^4 + \|\theta\|^4 + 1) \delta^2 \quad (\text{by estimate (0.20)}) \\
 &= c_2(\delta) \quad \text{say.} \tag{0.22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $c_2(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Let $C(\delta) = \max\{c_1(\delta), c_2(\delta)\}$. Hence $C(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus inequalities (0.5), (0.6) and (0.7) are satisfied with $C(\delta) = \max\{c_1(\delta), c_2(\delta)\} = 2^7 K^4 C (\|V\|^4 + \|\theta\|^4 + 1) \delta^2$. Hence X^π is weakly consistent. \square

0.3 Remarks:

- (a) All the results which we have established in this work can be extended by replacing the Brownian motion W by another process $Z : [0, a] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ which is a continuous martingale adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, a]}$ and has independent increments and satisfies with some constant K the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\mathbf{E}[Z(t) - Z(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s]| \leq K(t - s) \quad \text{and} \\
 &\mathbf{E} (|Z(t) - Z(s)|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s) \leq K(t - s) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the above properties of Z which we have just mentioned are the only properties of W which we have used (in case of Brownian motion) to prove the results which we have obtained in this work.

- (b) The Numerical Stability 0.1 and The Weak Consistency 0.2 can be extended to a processes $f', g' : [0, a] \times \mathbf{R}^n \times L^2(J, \mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L(\mathbf{R}^m, \mathbf{R}^n)$ ($m, n \in \mathbf{N}$) instead of the processes $f, g : [0, a] \times \mathbf{R}^n \times L^2(J, \mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$), and instead of the Brownian motion W we use the process $Z : [0, a] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ which is a martingale adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, a]}$, continuous on $[0, a]$, and has independent increments and satisfies for some constant K the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\mathbf{E}[Z(t) - Z(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s]| \leq K(t - s) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} (|Z(t) - Z(s)|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s) \leq K(t - s) \\
 &\text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq a.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) All the lemmas and theorems in this work hold for any delay interval $J' = [-r, 0)$ ($r \geq 0$).

References

References

- [1] Tagelsir A Ahmed, *Stochastic Functional Differential Equations with Discontinuous Initial Data*, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan, (1983).
- [2] Tagelsir A Ahmed and Van Casteren, J.A., *Approximation Theorems for The Solution of Stochastic Functional Differential Equations with Discontinuous Initial Data*. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematic IJISM*, Volume-2, Issue-2, (March-2014).
- [3] Tagelsir A Ahmed, and Van Casteren, J.A., *Precise Estimates for The Solution of Stochastic Functional Differential Equations With Discontinuous Initial Data (Part 1)*,.Submitted for publicaion in The international Journal of Innovative Science ,Engineering and Technology (IJSET) ,(Volume I, ISSU 6, August-2014)
- [4] Friedman, A., *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, Academic press (1975).
- [5] Halmos, P.R., *Measure Theory*, D. Van Nostrand Company (1950).
- [6] Ikeda, N. and Watanabe, S, *Stochastic differential equations and Diffusion Processes*, Amsterdam: North-Holland 1981.
- [7] Kloeden, P.E and Platen,E., *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1992).
- [8] McShane, E.J., *Stochastic calculus and Stochastic Models*, Academic Press (1974).
- [9] Mohammed, S.E.A., *Stochastic Functional Differential Equations*, Research Notes in Mathematics; Pitman Books Ltd., London (1984).